## Influence of Soil Fumigation and Fungicide Application on Outplanted Ponderosa Pine Seedlings

## D.S. Page-Dumroese and A.E. Harvey<sup>1</sup>

Page-Dumroese, D.S.; Harvey, A.E. 1994. Influence of Soil Fumigation and Fungicide Application on Outplanted Ponderosa Pine Seedlings. In Landis, T.D.; Dumroese, R.K., technical coordinators. Proceedings, Forest and Conservation Nursery Associations. 1994, July 11-14; Williamsburg, VA. Gen. Tech. Rep. RM-GTR-257. Fort Collins, CO: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Forest and Range Experiment Station: 203-213. Available at: http://www.fcnanet.org/proceedings/1994/page-dumroese.pdf

Abstract — Ponderosa pine (Pinus ponderosa Laws.) was used as a model to determine the effects of soil fumigation and fungicide applications on outplanted stock in moderately-fertile soils of the Intermountain West, U.S.A. Five soil fumigation treatments (Vapam), singularly and in combination with fungicide (triadimefon) applications, were compared to a control. Growth characteristics, nutrition, and ectomycorrhizal colonization were evaluated. Seedling height growth at the end of the fourth growing season was best after spring fumigation. Greatest ectomycorrhizal colonization occurred in the non-fumigated treatment with fungicide applied before planting. Generally, spring or fall soil fumigation treatment, without fungicide applications, produced seedlings with the best growth. These results suggest that soil fumigation applications could improve early performance of ponderosa pine when reforesting sites with abundant, native inoculum. Ponderosa pine planted on moisture or nutrient limited sites, with adequate ectomycorrhizal inoculum, may benefit from elimination of ectomycorrhizae in the nursery.

## INTRODUCTION

Ponderos a pine (*Pinus ponderosa* Laws.) is an important regeneration species on dry sites in the western U.S.A. (Linhart, 1988). Rapid achievement of full stocking following harvesting is usually a primary objective for managing ponderosa pine, but frequently is difficult to obtain. Most regeneration failures in this region result from long periods of evaporative demand, low soil moisture (Curtis and Lynch, 1957), root disease (Johnson and Zak, 1977), and poor ectomycorrhizal colonization (Harvey et al., 1992). Competition from invading or residual plants after harvest can further reduce amounts of water and nutrients available to conifer regeneration (Miller, 1987; Stewart, 1974). Nonconifer vegetation that com petes with tree crops for moisture can increase the risk of regeneration failure and reduce growth of established stands (Boyd, 1985).

On sites with severe competition, common site preparation practices may not be adequate to reduce invading herbaceous species. These sites often must be replanted several times before stocking is adequate for stand development (Coffman, 1982). Alternative methods to reduce seedling mortality and/or increase growth during early years may give foresters valuable options in treating and regenerating such sites. Options could include soil fumigation before planting and/or seedling treatment with fungicides before planting.

In the western U.S.A., Vapam (sodium -N-methyl-dithiocarbam ate) is sometimes used as a soil

<sup>1</sup> USDA Forest Service, 1221 South Main Street, Moscow, ID 83843.

fumigant. Vapam is amenable for use in field situations since it does not require that the soil be covered with plastic after treatment. Vapam is less volatile than other fumigants, such as methyl bromide. If the soil is moist, tarping is not necessary. While results were preliminary (first year) fumigation with Vapam produced Douglas -fir (Pseudotsuga menziesii var. glauca [Beissn.] Franco) and white pine (Pinus monticola Dougl. ex. D.Don) seedlings with increased root growth and total weight as compared to seedlings planted in non-fumidated soil (Cornwall, 1985; Rainville, 1987). However, changes in plant nutrition or ectomycorrhizal function were not measured.

Soil fumigation has been shown to raise levels of available soil nitrogen (N) and phosphorus (P) and to increase nutrient uptake by seedlings (Benzian, 1965). The relationship of soil nutrient availability to plant uptake following fumigation is unclear, since addition of fertilizer does not give similar growth gains (Rovira and Simon, 1985; Bengtson and Smart, 1981; Rovira, 1976). However, increased availability of N and P may be due to loss of viability of microorganisms that tie up nutrients as well as increased nutrient levels from the dead organisms (Alexander, 1977). Soil organic matter content, cation exchange capacity, ectomycorrhizal colonization, and pH can all be affected by fumigation treatments (Sandler et al., 1988). Many fumigation studies in greenhouses

and forest nurseries have shown increased numbers of ectomycorrhizal s hort roots, increased nutrient uptake (Henderson and Stone, 1970; Marx et al., 1978; Snyder and Davey, 1986) and increased water uptake (Menge, 1982; Zak 1964).

Fumigation also changes the microbial equilibrium of the soil. Parr (1968) suggested the pattern or sequence of reestablishment of fungi in fumigated soil varies considerably and depends on soil chemical and physical properties, as well as on the fumigant itself. In general, microbial numbers decrease after fumigation, but certain species quickly reinhabit the soil, and shortly after treatment overall numbers are usually in excess of untreated controls (Martin and Pratt 1958). Fumigation alters microbe species in favor of fungi normally suppressed by Aspergilli and Penicillia. Actinomycetes have a greater tolerance for fumigation than either fungi or bacteria (Wensley, 1953). One principal recolonizing group in fumigated surface soils is *Trichoderma* spp. (Danielson and Davey 1969). The antagonism of Trichoderma to certain detrimental fungi may improve growth of outplanted seedlings. For example, Trichoderma viride is antagonistic to Phytophtora, Pythium, Armillaria, Rhizoctonia, and other parasitic fungi (Garrett, 1956; Wright, 1956; Weindling, 1938).

Broad-spectrum fumigants like Vapam have been shown to inhibit

the growth of ectomycorrhizal fungi in forest nurseries (Johnson and Zak, 1977; Hacskaylo and Palmer, 1957; Trappe and Strand, 1969). Some fungicides may be more selective than broad-spectrum fumigants. They are generally believed to be less harmful to ectomycorrhizal fungi (Marx and Barnett, 1974). Application of triadimefon, 1-(4-chlorophenoxy)-3,3dimethyl-1-(1H-1,2,4-triazol-1yl)-2-butanone (Bayleton ®), a systemic fungicide, does not inhibit short root development (South and Kelley, 1982). In fact, Kelley (1987) noted that after 1 year. greenhouse-grown loblolly pine (Pinus taeda L.) seedlings treated with triadimeton had significantly more ectomycor-rhizal short roots that control seedlings. Survival and arowth of seedlings treated with triadimefon were similar to control seedlings after outplanting into plantations (Rowan and Kelley, 1986). Two-year-old loblolly pine seedlings had a similar response (Marx, 1987). These greenhouse studies differ from field nursery tests. Marx et al. (1986) noted that growth of loblolly pine in South Carolina was inhibited by the application of triadimefon.

Increases in growth that continue even after competing vegetation has reoccupied the site indicate a basic change in soil/tree root biology. Applying triadimefon in the nursery may encourage faster seedling root colonization by native ectomycorrhizal symbionts after outplanting in a forest site.

Field studies, using planting spot

methyl bromide soil fumigation in the western U.S.A., have shown a doubling of survival and growth of outplanted Douglas -fir and ponderosa pine on dry sites in eastern Washington (Klock, 1980). Fumigant-induced changes in soil biology may affect disease resis tance, soil nutrient availability, or ectomycorrhizal development (Bengtson and Smart, 1981; Klock, 1980).

This paper examines the impact of planting-site fumigation with Vapam and fungicide application (triadimefon) on ponderosa pine. It considers ectomycorrhizal colonization, seedling growth and nutrition, and soil N transformations in a moderately fertile, forested environment with high levels of native ectomycorrhizal inoculum.

## METHODS AND MATERIALS

### Site Description

The study was located on the Priest River Experimental Forest 19 km N of Priest River, ID, U.S.A. at an elevation of 1550 m. The previous stand, which consisted of western white pine, Douglas -fir, lodgepole pine (*Pinus contorta* Dougl. ex Loud.), and western larch (*Larix occidentalis* Nutt.), was cleared in 1985 and the soil rototilled to a depth of 30 cm. The soil is a coarse loamy, mixed, frigid Typic Xerochrept (Soil Survey Staff, 1975). Mean annual average precipitation and temperature is 84 cm and 6.6°C, respectively.

## Study Design

A randomized complete block design was established on this site in 1988, with three replications of five treatments and an untreated control. Treatments consisted of:

- (1) spring soil fumigation with Vapam,
- (2) fall soil fumigation with Vapam,
- (3) fall soil fumigation with Vapam and triadimefon applied to seedlings in the greenhouse before outplanting,
- (4) fall soil fumigation with Vapam and triadimefon applied to seedlings in the greenhouse before outplanting, plus 4 additional times during the first growing season,
- (5) no soil fumigation and triadimefon applied to seedlings in the greenhouse before outplanting.

Seedlings treated with fungicide before planting had triadimefon applied 3 times at 2-week intervals, while actively growing in the greenhouse. The concentration was 1.8 mg active ingredient/seedling mixed with a surfactant (Marx, 1987). Plots were 30 m \* 30 m in size with a 1 m buffer.

### Soil Treatment Application

Fall and spring soil fumigations were achieved by applying 450 ml/ m<sup>2</sup> Vapam after the soil had been soaked to a depth of 30 cm by rain. Treated areas were watersealed to move the Vapam into the soil. Fall fumigation plots were treated the second week of October (1988) and the soil covered with black plastic until 4 weeks before spring planting. Spring fumigation plots were treated with Vapam, at the same rate as the fall fumigation treatments, approximately 6 weeks before planting (1989). The plots were covered with black plastic for 2 weeks, then uncovered for 4 weeks prior to planting to allow dissipation of the fumigant. Plots were tested for remaining fumigant by planting highly sensitive tomato plants as an indicator 1 week before seedlings were outplanted. Plots were then planted with 1-year-old containergrown ponderosa pine seedlings on a 1-by-1 m spacing.

## Seedling Sampling and Measurements

Before outplanting, 5 seedling root systems from each treatment were examined for ectomycorrhizal root tips. Active ectomycorrhizal root tips comprised approximately 10 percent of the root system, except the triadimefon treated seedlings which had no active ectomycorrhizal root tips. Monthly during the first and second growing seasons, 5 seedlings from each treatment and replication were carefully excavated. Top height, rooting depth, and root-collar diameter were measured. Tops of seedlings were severed from the roots, dried at 60° C for 24 h,

weighed, and ground to pass a 20mesh sieve. Entire seedling tops were analyzed for total N and P by Kjeldahl digestion methods using the salicylic acid-sodium thiosulfate modification (Bremner and Mulvaney, 1982). They were analyzed on an Alpkem Rapid Flow Analyzer. Calcium (Ca) and magnesium (Mg) were analyzed by atomic absorption spectroscopy, potassium (K) was analyzed by flame emission after samples had been dry ashed at 450° C and leached with 2N HNO 3 . After seedling roots were washed, total ectomycorrhizal tips counted with a 20x binocular microscope. Roots were then dried at 60° C for 24 h and weighed.

## Soil Sampling and Analysis

Five 20 g random soil core samples were collected in each treatment 1 d before fumigation, and 14 and 45 d after fumigation. They were sieved to pass a 2 mm sieve. Control soil samples were collected at the same time as the fall fumigation samples. Ammonium - nitrogen ( $NH_4$  -N) and nitrate-nitrogen ( $NO_3$  -N) were analyzed on undried samples in a 1N KCl extract using and Alpkem Rapid Flow Analyzer (Keeney and Nelson, 1982).

### Statistical Analysis

The significance of difference among treatments was tested using a one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) for a randomized complete block design followed by Scheffe's multiple range test (Scheffe, 1953). A type I error rate of 0.05 was applied.

## **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

## Seedling growth characteristics

After the first growing season, height growth was greatest in the fumigation only treatments, and least in the fall fumigation treatment with continuous triadimefon application (Table 1). Rooting depth was unaffected by these treatments (data not shown). Root-collar diameter was greatest in the fumigation only treatments. Second- year seedling growth was similar to the first year. Seedlings growing in the fumigated treatments had greater height growth, rootcollar diameter, and biomass than the other treatments, but, also as with the first-year, the differences were not always significant. By the end of the fourth growing season, seedlings growing in the spring fumigation plots had significantly greater height than those in any other treatments (Table 2).

Spring fumigation before outplanting provided the environment for improved seedling growth. In Vapam treated soil, *Tricho-Derma viride* and *Penicillium* sp. are the dominant recolonizers (Singha, et al., 1979; Corden and Young, 1965). These fungi may act as antagonists against potential pathogens. Fall fumigation may allow recolonization of all species of microbes in the rooting zone before seedling outplanting the following spring. Other investigators have also noted striking increases in seedling height and biomass in fumigated soil, com pared to controls that were not fumigated (James et al., 1990; Rainville, 1987; Cornwall, 1985; Klock, 1980; Henderson and Stone, 1970).

The lack of many significant differences between treatments and controls during the first growing season may be due to outplanting containerized nursery stock with pre-set buds and a high nutrient status (Kozlowski et al., 1973). Although no biomass measurements of herbaceous competition were taken, visual assessment indicated the fumigated plots has substantially less competition than plots that were not fumigated. This may also contribute to growth differences.

By the end of the second year, seedlings that had triadimefon applied, either before planting or continuously, had reduced height growth, root-collar diameter and total biomass compared to the fumigation only treatments. Marx (1987) and Rowan and Kelley (1986) found no significant effects of triadimeton on first-year growth of nursery-grown bareroot loblolly or slash pine (Pinus elliottii Engelm.) seedlings compared to control seedlings. However, Davis (1991) found that triadimefon can act as a potent inhibitor of shoot growth with effects persisting for several years.

TREATMENT	Year 1			Year 2				
	Height - cm -	Caliper - mm -	Biomass - g -	Height - cm -	Caliper - mm -	Biomass - g -		
Spring fumigation Fall fumigation Fall fumigation and triadimefon Fall fumigation and continuous triadimefon <sup>A</sup> No fumigation and triadimefon	18.1a <sup>B</sup> 18.1a 17.6ab 16.8b 17.4ab	4.7ab 5.2a 4.5abc 4.6ab 3.8c	6.0ab 6.1a 6.2a 5.7ab 5.1b	36.1a 33.5ab 32.3b 32.7ab 30.8b	12.7a 11.9a 11.7a 11.8a 10.0b	49.9a 45.7ab 41.4ab 42.1ab 34.9b		
Control	17.6ab	4.4bc	5.2b	33.2ab	11.8a	42.9b		

Table 1. Growth characteristics of ponderosa pine as affected by site treatment.

<sup>A</sup> Continuous triadimefon during year 1 only.

<sup>B</sup> Different letters indicate significant differences (P<0.05) among treatments.

## Ectomycorrhizae colonization

There were no significant treatment differences during the first year in total ectomycor-rhizal root tips (Table 3). This is consis tent with results from the southeastern U.S.A. where increasing rates of triadimefon did not alter first year ectomycorrhizal colonization of feeder roots (Kelley, 1987). However, ectomycor-rhizal root tips per gram of dry roots were significantly greater in the no fumigation, before planting triadimefon application treatment compared to the others. This is probably related to slightly greater number of total ectomycorrhizal tips on these seedlings. In this study, fumigation alone did not improve ectomycorrhizal colonization of ponderosa pine.

By the end of the second growing season, ectomycorrhizal

colonization was greatest in the fall fumigation treatment that had triadimefon applied continuously during the first growing season and the no fumigation treatments with triadimefon applied before planting. Fall fumigation alone and fall fumigation treatments with triadimefon applied before planting were not significantly different from these treatments. Soil fumigation has been shown to reduce populations of ectomycorrhizal fungi (Johnson and Zak, 1977). Without reinoculation of seedling root systems, or ample native ectomycorrhizae present, colonization can be slow (Henderson and

# Table 2. Height of 4-year-old ponderosa pine seedlings as affected by site treatment.

TREATMENT	Height - cm -
Spring fumigation Fall fumigation Fall fumigation and triadimefon Fall fumigation and continuous triadimefon <sup>A</sup> No fumigation and triadimefon	74.8a <sup>B</sup> 68.5b 68.5b 64.9b 60.6c
Control	68.1b

<sup>A</sup>Continuous triadimefon during year 1 only.

<sup>B</sup> Different letters indicate significant differences (P<0.05) among treatments

TREATMENT	Ye	ear 1	Year 2		
	Total	Tips/g	Total	Tips/g	
	Tips	dry root	Tips	dry root	
Spring fumigation	30a <sup>B</sup>	15b	32b	3a	
Fall fumigation	28a	13b	42ab	8a	
Fall fumigation and triadimefon	31a	20b	39ab	4a	
Fall fumigation and continuous triadimefon <sup>A</sup>	24a	10b	59a	8a	
No fumigation and triadimefon	49a	36a	62a	9a	
Control	32a	22ab	42ab	5a	

## Table 3. Ectomycorrhizal short root (EMSR) colonization of ponderosa pine as affected by site treatment.

<sup>A</sup> Continuous triadimefon during year 1 only.

<sup>B</sup> Different letters indicate significant differences (P<0.05) among treatments.

Stone 1970). In this study, fall fumigation likely allowed mycorrhizal inoculum to recover before planting.

Triadimefon may rid seedlings of non-site-specific ectomycorrhizae early in the first growing season and allow site-specific ectomycorrhizae to colonize roots vigorously (Harvey et al., 1991; Page-Dumroese et al., 1990). It appears that maximum seedling growth can be achieved with a moderate number of native ectomycorrhizae.

### Seedling nutrition

Seedling nutrient concentrations did not always correspond to seedling growth characteristics. After the first growing season, seedling K concentration among treatments was unchanged (Table 4). In the fall fumigation treatment with triadimefon applied before planting, Mg concentrations were greatest compared to most other treatments, except the no fumigation triadimefon treatment before planting. Results were similar for Ca. Greater concentrations of Ca and Mg in triadimefon-treated seedlings may be caused by residual triadimefon and its metabolite triadimenol in the needles. After foliar application of triadimefon, it remains in the sprayed portion of the plant and is usually not redistributed through it, but may move to the leaf margins (Davidse and DeWaard, 1984). Triadimeton has been shown to reduce transpiration and produce thicker, darker leaves on many plants (Fletcher and Nath, 1984).

Although significant differences in seedling total P were found during the first-growing season, the actual values differed by very little. By the end of the second year, differences were no longer significant. Because endemic ectomycorrhizae were likely eliminated from the fumigation plots, we expected seedling P to be depressed (Henderson and Stone, 1970). Apparently, in this soil type, P was available in sufficient quantity for normal seedling uptake.

Total seedling N was greatest in the two fumigation-only treatments. During the second-year, fall fumigation treatments with triadimefon applied before planting had the lowest total N values. This may be due to the plant growth-regulating properties of triadimefon. Wang et al. (1986) reported that triadimefon applications increased the N nutrition of applied seedlings. However, most differences associated with triadimeton applications have been caused by variations in application rates and frequencies and by variations in environmental

Table 4. Nutrient concentrations of ponderosa pine foliage and stems as affected by site treatment.

TREATMENT	Year 1				Year 2					
	Total N perc	Total P cent	Ca 	Mg mg/kg	К	Total N perc	Total P cent	Са 	Mg - mg/kg	K
Spring fumigation Fall fumigation Fall fumigation and triadimefon Fall fumigation and continuous triadimefon <sup>A</sup> No fumigation and triadimefon	0.79a <sup>B</sup> 0.81a 0.76ab 0.78ab 0.69b	0.10ab 0.10ab 0.09b 0.10ab 0.10ab	2168a 2325ab 2565a 2124b 2358ab	894b 905b 1145a 884b 982ab	5464a 5076a 4937a 5386a 5009a	1.78a 1.73a 1.72a 1.55b 1.68ab	0.35a 0.45a 0.36a 0.36a 0.36a	1863c 1982bc 2042bc 2241a 2153ab	813a 823a 848a 849a 809b	5757a 5279a 5416a 5528a 5484a
Control	0.76ab	0.11a	2045b	859b	5146a	1.77a	0.39a	2021bc	836a	5694a

<sup>A</sup> Continuous triadimefon during year 1 only.

<sup>B</sup> Different letters indicate significant differences (P<0.05) among treatments.

conditions.

#### Soil N transformations

Although there was an immediate increase in ammonium -N in fumigated soils, levels had dropped offto before fumigation levels by 45 d after treatment (Fig. 1). Spring fumigation was the only treatment with significantly higher ammonium at planting time. Higher concentrations in the spring fumigation treatment may be attributed to warm soil temperatures immediately after fumigation. The short elapsed time between fumigation and planting in this treatment likely allowed seedlings to capitalize on N releases. This may have contributed to improved seedling growth in this treatment. Similar results are reported elsewhere (Singha et al., 1979; Munnecke and Ferguson, 1960).

Soil nitrate -N levels followed the same trend as ammonium -N, with the spring fumigation treatment having the highest concentration at planting time (Fig. 2). Other studies have shown that fumigation had a strong, initial effect of depressing nitrification (Singhaetal.,1979; Munnecke and Ferguson, 1960). This was apparently not the case here. The toxic effect of Vapam on nitrifiers has been attributed to the highly toxic compound methyl isothiocyanate. Since fumigation rates were relatively low and Vapam was watered into the soil after application,toxicitymayhave been reduced for this study.



Figure 1. Effect of soil fumigation with Vapam on ammonium -nitrogen levels (mg/kg).



Figure 2. Effect of soil fumigation with Vapam on nitrate-nitrogen levels (mg/kg).

## CONCLUSION

Fumigation offers an effective way to rid a site of competition by controlling both vegetation and microorganisms in the rooting zone. This treatment alone may provide an environment for enhanced regeneration performance. Spring fumigation provides the greatest opportunity for improving ponderosa pine seedling growth after outplanting, however fall fumigation was also fairly successful. Fall fumigation may be more effective if seedlings are planted 4 to 6 weeks after fumigation, depending on timing and weather, instead of the following spring. Initial growth increases from fumigation, particularly if conducted in the spring just before planting, appear to last well beyond the first growing season. Eliminating ectomycorrhizae before planting, or during the first growing season, enhanced second year colonization, but seedling growth was not improved over moderate colonization levels. Use of triadimefon to eliminate nursery produced ectomycorrhizae may only be useful if rapid colonization by native ectomycorrhizae is assured by abundant, native inoculum and required for seedling survival.

### REFERENCES

Alexander, M. 1977. Introduction to soil microbiology. John Wiley and Sons. Inc. New York. Bengtson, G. W. and Smart, G. C., Jr. 1981. Slash pine growth and response to fertilizer after application of pesticides to the planting site. For. Sci. 27: 487-502.

Boyd, R. J. 1985. Conifer performance following weed control site preparation treatments in the Inland Northwest. In: Weed control for forest productivity in the Interior West. Eds. D. M. Baumgartner, et. al. 1985. Feb. 5-7. Washington State University. Spokane, WA. pp. 95-110.

- Bremner, J. M. and Mulvaney, C.S. 1982. Nitrogen-total. In: Methods of Soil Analysis. Part2. Agronomy. 9: 595-624.
- Coffman, M. S. 1982. Regeneration prescriptions: the need to be holistic. In: Artificial regeneration of conifers in the Upper Great Lakes Region. Eds. G. D. Mroz and J. F. Berner. 1982 October 26-28. Michigan Technological University. Houghton, MI. pp. 190-208.
- Corden, M. E. and Young, R. A. 1965. Changes in the soil microflora following fungicide treatments. Soil Sci. 99: 272-277.
- Cornwall, B. J. 1985. Effects of Vapam on growth and rhizoplane microflora of western white pine and Douglas -fir seedlings in mounded forest

soils. Master of Science Thesis. University of Idaho, Moscow. 32 p.

- Curtis, J. D. and Lynch, D. W. 1957. Silvics of ponderosa pine. Misc. pub. U. S. Department of Agriculture, Intermountain Forest and Range Experiment Station. Ogden, UT. 37 p.
- Danielson, R. M. and Davey, C. B. 1969. Microbial recolonization of a fumigated nursery soil. For. Sci. 15: 368-380.
- Davidse, L. C. and DeWaard, M.
  A. 1984. Systemic fungicides.
  In: Advances in plant pathology.
  Eds. D. S. Ingram and P. H.
  Williams. Volume 2. Academic
  Press. pp. 191-257.
- Davis, T. D. 1991. Regulation of tree growth and development with triazole compounds. J. Arboriculture. 17: 167-170.
- Fletcher, R. A. and Nath, V. 1984. Triadimefon reduces transpiration and increases yield in water-stressed plants. Physiol. Plant. 62: 422-426.
- Garrett, S. D. 1956. Ecology of root-inhabiting fungi. Cambridge Univ. Press. Cambridge, England.
- Hacskaylo, E. and Palmer, J. G. 1957. Effect of several biocides on growth and incidence of

mycorrhizae in field plots. Plant Dis. Rep. 41: 354-358.

Harvey, A. E., Page-Dumroese, D. S., Graham, R. T. and Jurgensen, M. F. 1991. Ectomycorrhizal activity and conifer growth interactions in western-montane forest soils. In: Proceedings -management and productivity of western-montane forest soils. Comps. A. E. Harvey and L. F. Neuenschwander. 1990 April 10-12; Boise, ID. Gen. Tech. Rep. INT-280. U. S. Depart-Ment of Aariculture. Forest Service, Intermountain Research Station Ogden, UT. pp. 110-117.

Henderson, G. S. and Stone, E. L., Jr. 1970. Interactions of phos phorous availability, mycorrhizae, and soil fumigation on coniferous seedlings. Soil Sci. Soc. Am. Proc. 34: 314-318.

James, R. L., Metzger, S., and Gilligan, C. J. 1990. Effects of soil fumigation on conifer seedling production at the USDA Forest Service Nursery, Coeur D'Alene, Idaho. Rep. 90-11.
Missoula, MT: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Northern Region. Missoula, MT. 18 p.

Johnson, D. W. and Zak, B. 1977. Effects of soil treatments on fungal populations and ponderosa pine seedling survival in an Oregon nursery. Plant Dis. Rep. 6: 43-47.

- Keeney, D. R. and Nelson, D. W.1982. Nitrogen-inorganic forms.In: Methods of soil analysis. Part2. Agronomy. 9: 643-693.
- Kelley, W. D. 1987. Effect of triadimefon on development of mycorrhizae from natural inoculum in loblolly pine nursery beds. South. J. Appl. For. 8: 51-54.

Klock, G. O. 1980. Preplant soil fumigant increases survival and growth of field planted conifer seedlings. For. Sci. 26: 400-402.

Kozlowski, T. T., Torrie, J. H., and Marshall, P. E. 1973. Predictability of shoot length from bud size in *Pinus resinosa* (Ait). Can. J. For. Res. 3: 34-38.

- Linhart, Y. B. 1988. Ecological and evolutionary studies of ponderosa pine in the Rocky Mountains. In: Ponderosa pine, the species and its management. Eds. D. M. Baumgartner, et. al. 1987. Sept 29-Oct. 1; Spokane, WA. Washington State University Extension Service. Pullman, WA. pp. 77-91.
- Martin, J. P. and Pratt, P. F. 1958. Fumigants, fungicide, and the soil. Agricultural and Food Chemistry. 6: 345-348.

- Marx, D. H. and Barnett, J. P. 1974. Mycorrhizae and containerized forest tree seedlings. In: Proceedings, North American containerized tree seedling symposium. Great Plains Agricultural Council. Pub. No. 68. Denver, CO. pp. 85-92.
- Marx, D. H., Morris, W. G., and Mexal, J. G. 1978. Growth and ectomycorrhizal development of loblolly pine seedlings in fumigated and nonfumigated nursery soil infested with different fungal symbionts. For. Sci. 24: 193-203.
- Marx, D. H. 1987. Triadimefon and *Pisolithus* ectomycorrhizae affect second-year field perform ance of loblolly pine. Res. Note SE-349. U. S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Southeastern Forest Experiment Station. Asheville, NC 6 p.
- Menge, J. A. 1982. Effect of soil fumigants and fungicides on vesicular-arbuscular fungi. Phytopath. 72: 1125-1132.
- Miller, D. L. 1987. The influence of competing vegetation in ponderosa pine forests. In: Ponderosa pine, the species and its management. Eds. D. M.
  Baumgartner, et. al. 1987. Sept 29-Oct. 1; Spokane, WA.
  Washington State University Extension Service. Pullman, WA. pp. 115-120.

- Munnecke, D. E. and Ferguson, J. 1960. Effect of soil fungicides upon soil-borne plant pathogenic bacteria and soil nitrogen. Plant Dis. Rep. 44: 552-555.
- Page-Dumroese, D. S., Loewenstein, H., Graham, R. T., and Harvey, A. E. 1990. Soil source, seed source, and organic-matter content effects on Douglas -fir seedling growth. Soil Sci. Soc. Am. 54: 229-233.
- Parr, J. F. 1968. The soil microbiological equilibrium: nature and duration of changes induced by cultural practices: In: Forest fertilization-theory and practice. TVA. Muscle Shoals, AL. p. 28-37.
- Rainville, S. G. 1987. Effect of microsite preparation on the development of conifer seedlings in northern Idaho. Master of Science Thesis. University of Idaho, Moscow.
- Rovira, A. D. 1976. Studies on soil fumigation. I. Effects on ammonium, nitrate, and phosphate in soil and on the growth, nutrition, and yield of wheat. Soil Biol. Biochem. 8: 241-247.
- Rovira, A. D. and Simon, A. 1985. Growth, nutrition, and yield of wheat in calcareous sandy loams

of South Australia: effects of soil fumigation, fungicide, nematicide, and nitrogen fertilizers. Soil Biol. Biochem. 17: 279-284.

Rowan, S. J. and Kelley, W. D.
1986. Survival and growth of outplanted pine seedlings after mycorrhizae were inhibited by use of triadimefon in the nursery. South. J. Appl. For. 10: 21-27.

Sandler, H. A., Carroll, R. B., and Sparks, D. L. 1988. Effects of biocidal treatments on cation exchange capacity and *Fusarium* blight of soybean in Delaware soils. Agron. J. 80: 8-12.

- Scheffe, H. 1953. A method for judging all contrasts in the analysis of variance. Biometrica. 53: 347-358.
- Singha, A. P., Agnihotri, V. P., and Singh, K. 1979. Effect of soil fumigation with Vapam on the dynamics of soil microflora and their related biochemical activity. Plant and Soil 53: 89-98.
- Snyder, C. S., and Davey, C. B. 1986. Sweetgum seedling growth and vesicular-arbuscular mycorrhizal development as affected by soil fumigation. Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J. 50: 1047-1051.

- Soil Survey Staff. 1975. Soil taxonomy. Agric. Handbook 436. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Washington, D. C.
- South, D. B., and Kelley, W. D. 1982. The effect of selected pesticides on short-root development of greenhouse-grown *Pinus taeda* seedlings. Can. J. For. Res. 12: 29-35.
- Stewart, R. E. 1974. Foliage sprays for site preparation and release from six coastal brush species. U. S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Pacific Northwest Forest and Range Experiment Station. Portland, OR. 20 p.
- Trappe, J. M. and Strand, R. F. 1969. Mycorrhizal deficiency in a Douglas -fir region nursery. For. Sci. 15: 381-389.
- Wang, S. Y., Steffens, G. L., and Faust, M. 1986. Effect of paclobutrazol on accumulation of carbohydrates in apple wood. Hort. Sci. 21: 1419-1421.
- Weindling, R. 1938. Association effects of fungi. Chronica Botanica. Waltham, MA.
- Wensley, R. N. 1953. Microbiological studies of the action of some selected soil fumigants. Can. J. Bot. 31: 277-308.

Wright, J. M. 1956. Biological control of a soil with *Pythium* infection by seed inoculation. Plant and Soil. 8: 132-140.

Zak, B. 1964. Fate of mycorrhizae in root disease. Ann. Rev. Phytopath. 2: 377-392.