

We are unable to supply this entire article because the publisher requires payment of a copyright fee. You may be able to obtain a copy from your local library, or from various commercial document delivery services.

From Forest Nursery Notes, Winter 2012

**61. © Sweet fern rhizome cutting success is influenced by propagation medium.**

Lubell, J. D. and Brand, M. H. International Plant Propagators' Society, combined proceedings, 2010, 60:384-385. 2011.

## Sweet Fern Rhizome Cutting Success Is Influenced by Propagation Medium<sup>©</sup>

Jessica D. Lubell and Mark H. Brand

Department of Plant Science and Landscape Architecture, Unit 4067, University of Connecticut, Storrs, Connecticut 06269-4067, U.S.A.

Email: Mark.Brand@uconn.edu

### INTRODUCTION

There is a desire to increase the use of native plants for landscaping as alternatives to exotic species, some of which are invasive. *Comptonia peregrina* (sweet fern), an attractive, low-growing shrub, native to northeastern North America, is a prime candidate for development as a landscape plant due to its adaptability to exposed sites with dry, infertile soil (Dirr, 2009). Stem cuttings and seed are not viable propagation methods (Dirr and Heuser, 1987). Some propagation success has been found using dormant rhizome cuttings (5 cm sections) taken in fall, and provided a cold stratification of 2 to 3 months at 4 °C (Ruchala et al., 2002). Rhizome cutting methods must be optimized if sweet fern is to reach a level of production where it is readily available to landscapers and homeowners. This work looked at media and effect on success of rhizome cuttings. An additional objective was to find out what size plant could be obtained in one growing season from rhizome cuttings.

### PROPAGATION AND PRODUCTION METHOD

Fall harvested, dormant rhizomes were cut into 5 cm pieces and planted in 160-ml square pots in four different propagation media: Scotts Metro Mix 510 Growing Medium, horticultural grade perlite, horticultural grade vermiculite, and mason's grade river sand. Two 5-cm cuttings were placed in each pot. Cuttings were placed horizontally in the pot with one crossed over the other. Pots were held in a dark cooler at 5 °C for 90 days and then were moved to a warm greenhouse for forcing. Cuttings were irrigated as needed, and while in the greenhouse, provided a soluble 20–10–20 fertilizer at 200 ppm N every 7 days. Young plants derived from rhizome cuttings were transplanted into 307-ml containers using an aged pine bark : sphagnum peat moss : sand growing medium (4 : 2 : 1, by vol.) and top-dressed with 4 g of Scotts Osmocote Plus 15–9–12 controlled-release fertilizer 8 to 9 month formulation. After 60 days, plants were transplanted by placing two 307-ml square pot plants into a 6-L container using the same (aged pine bark : sphagnum peat moss : sand) growing medium.

### RESULTS

Cuttings propagated in vermiculite had 100% survival, while cuttings in Metro Mix 510, perlite, and sand had 81%, 81%, and 6% survival, respectively. Cuttings in vermiculite produced the greatest number of shoots per pot (4.2) and total shoot length per pot (14.8 cm), but were not statistically different from the Metro Mix 510 cuttings. Cuttings in perlite had significantly less total shoot length per pot than vermiculite and Metro Mix 510, and significantly fewer shoots per pot than vermiculite. Cuttings grown in sand performed poorly. Only two of the initial 32 pots survived to produce shoots upon forcing in the greenhouse, and these shoots were