

## Evaluation of Quinoclamine and Diuron for Postemergence Control of Liverwort (c)

Adam F. Newby<sup>1</sup>, Charles H. Gilliam, and Glenn R. Wehtje  
Auburn University, Department of Horticulture, Auburn, Alabama 36849

James E. Altland  
Oregon State University, North Willamette Research & Extension Center, Aurora, Oregon 97002

### INTRODUCTION

*Marchantia polymorpha*, also known as liverwort, has established itself as a primary weed in nursery production within the Southern United States. It is well adapted to nursery environments and especially propagation environments. Liverwort thrive in low UV light, high fertility, high moisture, and high humidity environments (Svenson, 2002). Therefore liverwort is especially problematic in shaded areas with frequent irrigation.

Liverwort is a physiologically primitive plant with no vascular system. Instead of leaves, it has leaf-like structures known as thalli that grow in prostrate form along the medium surface. Liverwort propagates both sexually and asexually. During the sporophytic life cycle, it propagates sexually when archegonia fertilize antheridia to form a sporophyte. The archegonia and antheridia are each borne on stalks that rise above the thalli. Microscopic spores are released and give rise to the gametophytic life cycle in which the plant propagates asexually by way of gemmae. Gemmae are basically small clones of the parent. plant produced in gemma cups on the thalli. They are dispersed to the immediate area when splashed by water. Liverwort. can also propagate asexually by fragmentation.

While liverwort was initially located in the Northwest and Northeast, it has spread to nursery production areas throughout the U.S.A. Some preemergence herbicides have been proven effective (Svenson, 1998; Fausey, 2003), however these cannot be used in closed structures thus creating a need for postemergence herbicides. Potential postemergence controls include quinoclamine and diuron. Quinoclamine is a chemical originally used in Japan as an algaecide in rice production. It has proven to provide effective postemergence liverwort control, and a broad range of nursery crops have proven tolerant (Altland et al., 2003; Newby et al., 2004). It is produced as a 25% wettable powder. It is currently used in Europe for liverwort control. The proposed recommendation by its company is based on amount of product per gallon applied at a specified spray volume. The current recommendation is 2 oz of product per gallon applied at 2 qt per 100 ft<sup>2</sup> (219 gal per A). This recommendation is equivalent to 6.8 lbs aila. In a previous study, a quinoclamine rate of 1 oz per gallon applied at 1 quart per 100 ft<sup>2</sup> (109 gal/A) provided similar postemergence control compared to the recommended rate (Newby et al., 2004).

Diuron is a substituted urea herbicide registered for use in cotton. It was first registered in the 1950s. Diuron inhibits photosynthetic electron transport within the chloroplast membrane. It is used for postemergence liverwort control in Germany (Dr. Heinrich Loesing, pers. commun.).

---

<sup>1</sup>Graduate Student Research Paper Winner; 1st Place.

The objective of this research was to evaluate the use of lower quinoclamine rates and spray volumes than currently recommended and to evaluate diuron for postemergence liverwort control.

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

Two experiments were conducted at Auburn University. Sprayable herbicides were applied with a CO<sub>2</sub> backpack sprayer fitted with an 8004 flat-fan nozzle at a pressure of 30 psi and calibrated to deliver the specified spray volume.

Experiment 1. Full gallon containers were filled with a 6 pine bark : 1 sand (v/v) mix amended with 14 lb (8.3 kg) of Polyon 18-6-12 (Pursell Technologies), 5 lb (3.0 kg) of dolomitic lime, and 1.5 lb (0.9 kg) of Micromax (The Scotts Company) per cubic yard (cubic meter). Containers were inoculated with *M. polymorpha* and grown under mist irrigation until it covered at least 60% of the container surface. Herbicide treatments were applied on 4 Nov. 2004. Twelve quinoclamine treatments were applied in a factorial arrangement consisting of four rates and three spray volumes. Rates of 0.25, 0.5, 1.0, and 2.0 oz product/gal (0.0625, 0.125, 0.25, and 0.5 oz ai/gal) were each applied at 27, 54, or 109 gal/A (0.25, 0.5, or 1.0 qt/100 ft<sup>2</sup>). Diuron was applied at 0.5 lb ai/A and 1.0 lb ai/A. Linuron, another substituted urea herbicide with similar chemistry to diuron, was also applied as at 0.5 lb ai/A and 1.0 ai/A. Both diuron and linuron were applied at 40 gal/A. Treatments were arranged with a nontreated control group in a completely randomized design with 6 single pot replications. Data included percent postemergence control at 3, 7, 14, and 28 days after treatment (DAT) on a 0 to 100 percent scale where 0 equals no control and 100 equals death of entire liverwort within the container. As a comparison of liverwort re-growth, percent liverwort coverage of the container surface was recorded 35 and 70 DAT. Treatments were also applied to 6 single-pot replications of *Humata tyermannii* (rabbit foot fern) and *Euphobia pulcherrima* (poinsettia) and compared to a nontreated control group in order to evaluate plant tolerance. The study was conducted in a temperature-controlled greenhouse that remained at or above 65 °F. Total irrigation applied was 0.25 inches daily split into two cycles.

Experiment 2. Liverwort was grown in gallon containers as described in Experiment 1. Treatments were applied on 14 March 2005 when liverwort covered at least 60% of the container surface. Nine quinoclamine treatments were applied in a factorial arrangement consisting of three rates and three spray volumes. Rates of 0.5, 1.0, and 2.0 oz product/gal (0.0625, 0.125, 0.25, and 0.5 oz ai/gal) were each applied at 27, 54, or 109 gal/A (0.25, 0.5, or 1.0 qt/100 ft<sup>2</sup>). Diuron 4L was applied at 0.5 lb ai/A and 1.0 lb ai/A at 40 gal/A. A non-treated control group was maintained. Treatments consisted of 6 single pot replications arranged in a completely randomized design. The study was conducted under a shade house with 47% shade. Cyclic overhead irrigation was applied daily at 0.5 inches per day split into two cycles. Percent liverwort control was recorded 7, 14, and 21 DAT. Percent liverwort coverage within the container was recorded 35 and 63 DAT.

#### RESULTS

Experiment 1. Among quinoclamine treatments, rate and surfactant affected postemergence liverwort control 7 DAT and 14 DAT. In general, control increased as rate increased and as spray volume increased. At 3 DAT, rates of 0.5, 1.0, and 2.0

Table 1. Experiment 1: Liverwort control with quinclamine, diuron, and linuron (Nov. 2004).

Herbicide	Rate oz product/gal	Volume gal/A	% Control			% Coverage	
			7 DAT <sup>z</sup>	14 DAT	70 DAT	70 DAT	
Quinclamine							
	0.25	27	33 ef	28 fg	97 a		
		54	46 ed	36 ef	97 a		
	0.5	109	61 cd	53 de	87 a		
		27	58 cd	61 cd	89 a		
		54	71 bc	66 cd	73 ab		
	1	109	88 ab	87 abc	79 ab		
		27	34 ef	28 fg	88 a		
		54	93 ab	94 ab	59 bc		
	2	109	97 a	83 abc	54 bc		
		27	82 abc	78 abcd	73 ab		
		54	81 abc	97 a	40 cde		
		109	99 a	98 a	22 de		
Main effects <sup>x</sup>			***	***	***		
rate			***	***	***		
spray volume			***	***	***		
rate*volume			NS	NS	*		
lb ai/A							
Diuron	0.5	40	35 e	70 bcd	44 cd		
	1	40	33 ef	86 abc	16 e		
Linuron	0.5	40	25 efg	17 fgh	94 a		
	1	40	10 fg	4 gf	100 a		
Control			2 g	1 h	100 a		

<sup>z</sup> Days after treatment.<sup>y</sup> Means within a column with the same letter are similar according to Duncan's multiple range test ( $\alpha=0.05$ ).<sup>x</sup> Main effects of rate, spray volume, and interaction thereof among quinclamine treatments.

NS, \*, \*\*, \*\*\* represent nonsignificant or significant at the 0.05, 0.01, 0.001 level, respectively.

Table 2. Experiment 2. Liverwort control with quinoclamine and diuron (March 2005).

Herbicide	Rate oz product/gal	Volume gal/A			% Control		% Coverage	
		7 DAT <sup>z</sup>	14 DAT	63 DAT	7 DAT <sup>z</sup>	14 DAT		
Quinoclamine	0.5	27	13	ef <sup>v</sup>	6	d	93	ab
		54	14	ef	12	d	95	ab
		109	29	cd	20	cd	93	ab
	1	27	18	de	8	d	96	ab
		54	40	c	18	cd	92	ab
		109	68	b	63	b	83	b
	2	27	35	c	19	cd	98	a
		54	83	a	82	a	66	c
		109	89	a	83	a	67	c
		Main effects <sup>x</sup>	rate	***		***		***
	spray volume	***		***		***		
	rate*volume	***		***		**		
lb a/A								
Diuron	0.5	40	3	f	35	c	23	d
	1	40	5	f	60	b	1	e
Control			6	ef	2	e	100	a

<sup>z</sup> Days after treatment.

<sup>v</sup> Means within a column with the same letter are similar according to Duncan's multiple range test ( $\alpha=0.05$ ).

<sup>x</sup> Main effects of rate, spray volume, and interaction thereof among quinoclamine treatments.

NS, \*, \*\*, \*\*\* represent nonsignificant or significant at the 0.05, 0.01, 0.001 level, respectively.

oz/gal applied at 109 gal/A provided 88%, 97%, and 99% postemergence control, respectively (Table 1). Similarly, rates of 1.0 and 2.0 oz/gal applied at just 54 gal/A provided 93% and 81% postemergence control, respectively. By 14 DAT, rates of 0.5, 1.0, and 2.0 oz/gal applied at 109 gal/A provided 83% to 98% postemergence control. Again, rates of 1.0 and 2.0 oz/gal applied at just 54 gal/A provided similarly effective postemergence control. Percent liverwort coverage 70 DAT was lowest in containers treated with 2.0 oz/gal applied at 54 and 109 gal/A.

Diuron and linuron treatment means were compared to quinochloramine treatments and the nontreated control group using Duncan's multiple range test ( $\alpha = 0.05$ ). At 7 DAT, diuron provided minimal postemergence control. Linuron treatments had no postemergence effect as compared to the control group. However, diuron applied 0.5 and 1.0 lb ai/A provided effective postemergence control 14 DAT. Diuron applied at 1.0 lb ai/A provided similar control to the most effective quinochloramine treatments. Percent coverage 70 DAT in containers treated with 1.0 lb ai/A diuron was numerically lowest at only 16%.

*Humata tyermannii* and *E. pulcherrima* displayed no injury throughout the course of the study.

Experiment 2. As in Experiment 1, quinochloramine rate, spray volume, and the interaction thereof affected postemergence liverwort control. The rate of 2 oz/gal applied at 54 and 109 gal/A provided superior control at 83% and 89% postemergence control 7 DAT (Table 2). Rates of 0.5 and 1.0 oz/gal did not provide adequate postemergence control regardless of spray volume. Results were similar 14 DAT. Liverwort covered 66% and 67% of the surface 63 DAT in containers treated with 2 oz/gal applied at 54 and 109 gal/A, respectively.

Diuron treatments did not provide significant postemergence control 7 DAT. By 14 DAT, diuron at 1.0 lb ai/A provided 60% postemergence control, while diuron at 0.5 lb ai/A provided 35% postemergence control. By 63 DAT, percent liverwort coverage in containers treated with diuron at 0.5 lb ai/A and 1.0 lb ai/A were significantly lower than containers treated with the highest rate and spray volume of quinochloramine. Percent coverage in containers treated with diuron at 1.0 lb ai/A was only 1%, while percent coverage in containers treated with diuron at 0.5 lb ai/A was 23%.

## DISCUSSION

Quinochloramine rate and spray volume influence postemergence liverwort control. These data show that lower than recommended spray volumes and rates can provide effective postemergence control. Heavy liverwort infestations may require a higher rate/spray volume, while lighter liverwort infestations may be controlled by a lower rate/spray volume.

Percent postemergence control attained by quinochloramine treatments was higher in Experiment 1 when compared to similar treatments in Experiment 2. Experiment 1 was conducted in a temperature-controlled greenhouse. Experiment 2 was conducted outdoors, and treatments were applied in March. Quinochloramine activity is fast on liverwort. Temperatures directly after application in March dropped to 43 °F and remained below 65 °F for the following 7 days. The lower amount of postemergence control in Experiment 2 could be accounted for by the cooler outdoor temperatures. Physiological activity of the liverwort would have been lower in cooler temperatures.

Diuron provides excellent postemergence liverwort control when applied at 1.0 lb ai/A. This product is not registered for use in nursery crops, however it caused no injury to crops treated in this study. Diuron has potential as a postemergence herbicide for use in container crops.

#### LITERATURE CITED

- Altland, J., A. Newby, and R. Regan. 2003. Determine efficacy and phytotoxicity of quinclamine. *Comb. Proc. Intl. Plant Prop. Soc.* 53: 383-386.
- Fausey, J.C. 2003. Controlling liverwort and moss now and in the future. *HortTechnology*. 13:35-38.
- Newby, A., J. Altland, D. Fare, C. Gilliam, and G. Wehtje. 2004. Postemergence control of liverwort in container production. *Proc. South. Nurs. Res. Conf.* 49:396-400.
- Svenson, S.E. 1998. Suppression of liverwort growth in containers using irrigation, mulches, fertilizers, and herbicides. *Proc. South. Nurs. Res. Conf.* 43: 396-398.
- Svenson, S.E. 2002. Give *Marchantia* its marching orders. *Digger*. 46(3):39-46.