

We are unable to supply this entire article because the publisher requires payment of a copyright fee. You may be able to obtain a copy from your local library, or from various commercial document delivery services.

From Forest Nursery Notes, Summer 2007

**151. © Soil change and loblolly pine (*Pinus taeda*) seedling growth following site preparation tillage in the upper coastal plain of the southeastern United States.** Lincoln, M. C., Will, R. E., Morris, L. A., Carter, E. A., Markewitz, D., Britt, J. R., Cazell, B., and Ford, V. *Forest Ecology and Management* 242:558-568. 2007.

# Soil change and loblolly pine (*Pinus taeda*) seedling growth following site preparation tillage in the Upper Coastal Plain of the southeastern United States

M. Chad Lincoln<sup>a</sup>, Rodney E. Will<sup>b,\*</sup>, Lawrence A. Morris<sup>c</sup>, Emily A. Carter<sup>d</sup>,  
Daniel Markewitz<sup>c</sup>, John R. Britt<sup>e</sup>, Ben Cazell<sup>f</sup>, Vic Ford<sup>e</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Forest Investment Associates, 546 Keyway Dr., Suite A, Jackson, MS 39232, United States

<sup>b</sup> Department of Natural Resource Ecology and Management, Oklahoma State University, 008C Agriculture Hall, Stillwater, OK 74078, United States

<sup>c</sup> Warnell School of Forestry and Natural Resources, University of Georgia, Athens, GA 30602, United States

<sup>d</sup> USDA Forest Service, Southern Research Station, 520 Devall Dr., Auburn, AL 36849, United States

<sup>e</sup> MeadWestvaco, 1000 Broad St., Phenix City, AL 36868, United States

<sup>f</sup> Rayonier, PO Box 819, Yulee, FL 32041, United States

Received 13 October 2006; received in revised form 23 January 2007; accepted 23 January 2007

## Abstract

To determine the relationship between changes in soil physical properties due to tillage and growth of loblolly pine (*Pinus taeda* L.) seedlings, we measured soil moisture and penetration resistance for a range of tillage treatments on two Upper Coastal Plain sites in Georgia and correlated these measurements to the growth of individual seedlings. The five tillage treatments were: no-till (NT), coultter only (C), coultter + subsoil (CS), coultter + bed (CB), and coultter + subsoil + bed (CSB). The effects of tillage on soil penetration resistance and volumetric water content were isolated from the potentially confounding effects of tillage on competition and soil fertility by completely eliminating all competing vegetation and by comparing tree response with and without periodic nutrient additions. At the site with a clay B-horizon at the surface, the tillage treatments increased relative height and relative diameter growth compared to the NT treatment during the first season, decreased soil penetration resistance, and decreased volumetric soil moisture (VWC). At the sandy site with a loamy sand topsoil averaging 15–40 cm in depth over a sandy clay loam B-horizon, bedding, subsoiling and the minimal tillage associated with machine planting increased seedling growth compared to the C treatment. Soil penetration resistance and VWC were greatest in the C treatment, intermediate in the NT treatment, and lowest in the treatments receiving bedding. Soil penetration resistance between 40 and 50 cm ( $p = 0.03$ ,  $r^2 = 0.40$ ) was negatively correlated with seedling relative diameter growth at the clay site. Soil penetration resistance between 10 and 40 cm ( $p < 0.02$ ,  $r^2 = 0.35$ ) was negatively correlated with seedling diameters at the sandy site. Overall, the positive effects of soil tillage on growth were relatively small (i.e., increases in height and diameter of about 20%). Most of the positive benefits of tillage on growth and soil physical properties were captured with less intensive treatments such as machine planting (sandy site) or the coultter only (clay site).

© 2007 Published by Elsevier B.V.

**Keywords:** *Pinus taeda*; Loblolly pine; Tillage; Bedding; Subsoiling; Upper Coastal Plain

## 1. Introduction

Mechanical site preparation is a common silvicultural treatment used in plantation forest management in all areas of the southeastern United States (Lantagne and Burger, 1987).

Benefits of mechanical site preparation include improved drainage, improved micro-site environment (nutrients, aeration, temperature, and moisture) for root development, and reduced competition (Haines et al., 1975). Many upland sites of the Coastal Plain have thin topsoil above a restrictive subsoil that may inhibit seedling root growth and limit nutrient availability (McKee and Wilhite, 1986; Wheeler et al., 2002). Additionally, soil compaction caused by trafficking of heavy equipment is a problem on many of these sites. Between 25 and 50% of a site is

\* Corresponding author. Tel.: +1 405 744 5444; fax: +1 405 744 3530.

E-mail address: [rodney.will@okstate.edu](mailto:rodney.will@okstate.edu) (R.E. Will).