

USDA Department of Agriculture

Forest Service

Pacific Northwest Region

State and Private Forestry

Cooperative Programs

R6-CP-TP-01-04



Forest Nursery Notes

Winter 2004



Forest Nursery Notes Team

R. Kasten Dumroese, Editor-In-Chief USDA Forest Service, Southern Research Station 1221 S. Main Street Moscow, ID 83843-4211 TEL: 208.883.2324 FAX: 208.883.2318 E-Mail: kdumroese@fs.fed.us

Tom D. Landis, Lead Author and Editor Forest Nursery Consultant 3248 Sycamore Way Medford, OR 97504-9005 TEL: 541.245.6892 FAX: 541.858-6110 E-Mail: nurseries@aol.com

David Steinfeld, Author USDA Forest Service 2606 Old Stage Road Central Point, OR 97502 TEL: 541.858.6105 FAX: 541.858.6110 E-Mail: dsteinfeld@fs.fed.us

Rae Watson, Layout and Author USDA Forest Service 2606 Old Stage Road Central Point, OR 97502 TEL: 541.858.6131 FAX: 541.858.6110 E-Mail: rewatson@fs.fed.us

This technology transfer service is funded by: USDA Forest Service, State and Private Forestry

The Policy of the United States Department foo Agriculture Forest Service prohibits discrimination on the basis of race, color, national origin, age, religion, sex, or disability, family status or political affiliation. Persons believing that they have been discriminated against in any Forest Service related activity should write to: Chief, Forest Service, USDA, PO Box 96090, Washington, DC 20090-6090



Forest Nursery Notes Winter 2004

What New in This Issue?

Changes in Management: With my official retirement on January 2, 2004, I am "turning over the reins" to my good friend Kas Dumroese who will also be assuming the role as National Nursery Specialist. I will continue to contribute to FNN as principal author and editor, working on a contract basis. Kas and I have collaborated on many projects and we don't see any major changes in the way FNN issues are produced. The general layout will remain the same: short informational articles on nursery culture and news topics, followed by a New Nursery Literature section. You will still be able to order 25 of the listed articles for free by filling out and returning the Literature Order Forms.

What will change is that I will not be around the office to answer telephone calls, FAXes and E-mail correspondence. It remains to be seen whether my replacement - the new Western Nursery Specialist - will be hired right away. As with most things, it will all depend on funding. The Forest Service still values this position as a valuable technology transfer position so hopefully they will fill it soon.

I am starting a private nursery consulting business and will hopefully have the opportunity to work with many of you in the future. I should be able to keep busy on a part-time basis and have more time for fishing and skiing. Retirement should give me the time to finally do some writing on Volume Seven of the Container Tree Nursery Manual series. Seedling Processing, Storage, and Outplanting will cover the time period from when seedlings are hardened-off and ready for harvest through when they are outplanted.

One thing is sure - I don't want to do as much traveling! So, we'll just have to see how it goes in this new phase of my life. My best wishes to you and yours during this holiday season and throughout the New Year!



Reforestation, Nurseries, and Genetic Resources (RNGR) Web Page: http://www.rngr.net/

The all new "Directory of Plant Material Providers" is now online and is a combination of three previous hard copy directories:

- 1) Directory of Forest and Conservation Nurseries
- 2) Commercial Seed Dealers Directory
- 3) Native Plant Materials Directory.

As you can probably imagine, it is almost impossible to keep hard copy directories up-to-date because as soon as they are printed, addresses, phone numbers, FAX numbers and E-mail addresses begin to change.

By combining three directories into one, now you can find nurseries, seed dealers, and native plant producers by location, products or services. In addition, suppliers can manage their respective information directly through the RNGR website. For more information on the directory, how to update information, or how to become a part of this powerful tool, please contact:

Bryan Jordin TEL: 706.542.1965 E-Mail: jbjordin@soforext.net

Native Plant Materials Directory

The Native Plants Materials Directory is an essential guide for anyone working in the burgeoning native plants field. It contains more than 1000 listings of North American producers and suppliers of materials necessary to users of native plants. The Directory is mainly for people and organizations working with native plants in conservation, restoration, reforestation, landscaping, and highway corridor projects. Copies are available for \$20.00 through the University of Idaho Press.



Native Plants Journal



Hopefully, many of you already subscribe to NPJ but, if you don't, you should consider doing so. In a few short years, NPJ has established itself as one of the best journals in horticulture. Not only does it contain a wealth of technical information but the color photographs and illustrations are of the highest quality. Many people think that "native plants" doesn't mean forest trees but NPJ has featured articles on Douglas-fir and longleaf pine as well as ninebark and Nebraska sedge. Many issues also contain focus topics which have ranged from "Nasty Plants" (Poison-oak and stinging nettle) to the Salicaceae family in the latest issue. Each issue also contains a good mix of propagation protocols, nursery equipment, refereed research articles, and outplanting considerations. NPJ is published twice per year, and annual subscriptions are a bargain at \$25 for students and \$30 for individuals.

Both the Native Plants Materials Directory and the Native Plants Journal can be ordered from:

University of Idaho Press PO Box 444416 Moscow, ID 83844-4416 TEL: 800.847.7377 FAX: 208.885.3301 E-mail: nativeplants@uidaho.edu Website: www.nativeplantsnetwork.org

Nursery Meetings

This section lists upcoming meetings and conferences that would be of interest to nursery, reforestation, and restoration personnel. Please send us any additions or corrections as soon as possible and we will get them into the next issue.

LUSTR Forest Renewal Co-op Presents: Annual General Meeting & Workshop January 22 and 23, 2004 in Thunder Bay, Ontario. Registration for the workshop is available to any interested groups or individuals, but the AGM is for Co-op members only. Some of the topics include Tree Improvement Activities in Ontario, Federal and Provincial Afforestation Initiatives and Herbicide use. block of rooms are reserved at Valhalla Inn until January 8, 2004. All information is available online at: <www.lustr.ca>

For reservations contact: Valhalla Inn 800.964.1121 or 807.577.1121 Reservations@valhallainn.com Confirmation #1725 For more information and registration contact: LUSTR Forest Renewal Co-op Lakehead University Faculty of Forestry and the Forest Environment 955 Oliver Road Thunder Bay, ON P7B 5E1 TEL: 807.343.8313 FAX: 807.343.8116 E-Mail:lustr@lakeheadu.ca

The Western Forest and Conservation Nursery Association (WFCNA) will be meeting at the Red Lion Inn in Medford, OR on July 26 to 29 2004. The theme for this conference is *Diverse Plant Materials and Fire Restoration*. For more information please contact:

Lee Riley Dorena Genetic Resource Center Umpqua National Forest 34963 Shoreview Road Cottage Grove, OR 97424 TEL: 541.767.5723 FAX: 541.767.5709 E-Mali: leriley@fs.fed.us

The **2004 Southern Forest Tree Nursery Association Meeting** will be hosted by the SC Forestry Commission and held at the Embassy Suites Hotel Airport-Convention Center, located at 5055 International Blvd., North Charleston, SC 29418, on **July 12-15, 2004.** Reservations can be made now at 1-800-Embassy or directly at 843-747-1882. Mention the Southern Forest Nursery Group for the \$106/night rate. Contact Mr. Stephen Cantrell at 803-275-3578 or by email at taylortree@pbtcomm.net for more information.

The Nursery Technology Cooperative at Oregon State University will be hosting two conferences in 2004 in **Eugene, OR.**

May 12-13, 2004: Forest Seedling Root Development from the Nursery to the Field.

December 15-16, 2004: Forest Plant Propagation and Restoration

For more information please contact:

Diane Haase Nursery Technology Cooperative OSU Forest Science 321 Richardson Hall Corvallis, OR 97331 TEL: 541.737.6576 E-MAIL: diane.haase@orst.edu

The Department of Forestry, University of Kentucky and Touchstone Energy Cooperatives are hosting the 4th Eastern Native Grass Symposium which will be held **October 3-5, 2004** at the 4-Points Sheraton in **Lexington, KY.** The purpose of the symposium is to share information, experiences and research about recent projects involving native grasses in the eastern United States. Information is available online at:

<http://forestry2.ca.uky.edu/grass_symposium>



The Q-Plug: A One Year Transplant

By David Steinfeld

For years, nursery managers have looked for ways to produce a transplant seedling in one season. Not only would this drastically reduce costs, it would also make planning and ordering seedlings easier for clients. One approach that has been investigated is to 1) sow into small containers in early winter, 2) grow for three to four months under greenhouse conditions, 3) extract and transplant in spring, 4) grow in bareroot beds for nine months and 5) lift the following winter. At Stone Nurserv we have tried this method over several years using Styroblock[®]2, [2.9 in³ (39 cm³)] and Styroblock[®]4, $[3.7 \text{ in}^3 (70 \text{ cm}^3)]$ containers. We learned that seedlings sown in mid winter did not have a firm root plug that would tolerate transplanting until late May to mid June. Unfortunately seedlings planted at this late date are not only subjected to hot, dry environmental conditions but, they also don't have enough time to develop the target size requested by our clients.

We realized that the key to producing a one-year transplant is to work with a plug that would hold together without the support of a developed root system. This would allow us the flexibility to extract and transplant at any time during seedling development, opening the transplant window to as early as mid March at our nursery. Concurrently, we were also looking for a stabilized plug that would work well in a carousel type



Figure 1. 10 week old Doulgas-fir seedling in Q-plug ready for transplanting.



Figure 2. Carousel transplanter -Q-plug is placed in chamber (A) which rotates the plug 180 degrees and drops it into tube (B) which delivers it to the soil.

transplanter - a transplanter that we believed would give us higher transplant production, better density control and better planting quality. When it really came down to it, we were looking at modifying our entire transplanting system if we could find the right plug. That plug is called the "Q-plug".

The Container Seedling

A Q-Plug is like a fine textured sponge that when thrown against a wall will bounce. A bag of them could very well be wrapped and placed under a Christmas tree to a young child's delight. Q-Plugs are produced by International Horticulture Technologies (www.ihort.com) who describes their product as a "stabilized rooting mediaTM". The technology is proprietary but basically the media is composed of composted bark and peat, held together with a binding agent. The media can be molded into any shape and size and the company has molds for a variety of growing containers. For our needs, we chose a Hortiblock[®]200 container which is a styrofoam block container with 200 cells, tapered for easier extraction. Plug volume is 1.2 in³ (20cc) and length is 2.4 in (5.8 cm) (Figure 1).

The Transplanter

Having found the right plug, we turned our attention to selecting a transplanter. Up until this point, our production transplanting had been done with a clip/wheel transplanter. Since clips cannot hold the stem of a very small Q-Plug seedling, we became interested in the carousel type transplanter. With this technology, a seedling is simply placed into a rotating chamber (Figure 2) which drops the seedling through a tube that delivers it to a furrow in the soil where it is packed into place (Figure 3).

We settled on the Mechanical Transplanter 5000[®], a carousel transplanter produced by the Mechanical Transplanter Company. We purchased nine carousel units and fabricated a transplanter that could plant nine rows in a bareroot bed at a density of 11 seedlings per square foot $(118/m^2)$.



Figure 3. As transplanter moves to the left, a Q-plug drops into the soil (A) and is placed into an upright position with a moving paddle (B). The soil furrow is immediately closed.

Container Culture

Last fall, we contracted with the IFA Nursery in Klamath Falls, Oregon to grow several million ponderosa pine, Douglas-fir and incense cedar seedlings in Q-Plugs. The nursery was within an hour and a half drive from us, which was close enough to give us flexibility in ordering seedling shipments on short notice. Their nursery management saw this as a great opportunity to fill greenhouses that were typically empty Bareroot Culture in the winter months. They could have a Q-Plug crop grown and shipped to us by the time they needed to fill their greenhouses with the normal spring production crop. So, in late January we sent them stratified seed, which they sowed over the next several weeks. Since the volume of the Hortiblock[®]200 plugs are very small, attention to the moisture status of the plug was critical and irrigation schedules were adjusted accordingly. In addition to frequent irrigation, seedling culturing involved light fertilization and several applications of mycorrhyzal spores. By late March, the crop was ready to ship back to our nursery for transplanting.

Transplanting

As luck would have it, the weather last spring was the worst we had experienced in decades. We were uncertain from day to day if we were going to be able to transplant so flexibility in seedling shipments was important. When a shipment of seedlings arrived, we would store them outside for several days until we were ready to transplant them. Because of the small size of the seedlings and lack of many roots, plugs could not easily be extracted from the containers without a little help. We had to fabricate a pin-type block extractor to loosen the Q-Plugs from the styrofoam blocks. As soon as they were loosened, the styrofoam blocks were placed on the transplanter (Figure 4). We designed the transplanter to store enough containers to transplant an 800 foot (244 m) bed. At the beginning of each bed, our crews would position several styrofoam blocks in vertical frames in front of each carousel. Crew members would then gently pull Q-Plugs from their containers and place them in the carousel chambers. Once our crews got the hang of it, we were planting between 130 to 170 thousand seedlings a day per transplanter.



Figure 4. Several styroblocks (Hortiblock 200) are placed vertically in front of each carousel for easy extraction and placement in chambers.

Culturing a seedling crop started in Q-Plugs presented us with some initial challenges. We learned that transplanting into less than ideal soil conditions becomes even more critical with the small Q-Plug. Since the soil conditions last spring were often very moist during transplanted, the soil around the O-Plugs was often compacted, resulting in poor aeration and drainage immediately around the plug. To increase soil friability, we wrenched the seedling beds in late June. The Douglas-fir and incense cedar seedlings were not affected by the stress of this operation and they kept growing through the summer but the ponderosa pine set



Figure 5. Douglas-fir seedling started in Q-plug in February 2003 and lifted in January 2004.

a bud and stopped height growth. All seedlings however continued to put on root and caliper growth into the late fall (Figure 5). Transplanting with drier and more friable soils will improve future crops.

Summary

We see many advantages to this new one-year transplant stocktype. Most of our clients are located in areas where summer precipitation is extremely low. Their target seedling has a small top, high root volume and large stem diameter. While our 2+0 or 1+1 seedlings have large stems and good root volumes, they also typically have corresponding large shoots since they have been grown for two years in a nursery that has an extremely favorable growing environment. While the Q-Plug transplant has a smaller root volume and stem caliper than a 2+0 or 1+1, it has a much higher root to shoot ratio, giving it an advantage on droughty sites. Our departure from standard bareroot transplanting methods has resulted in many benefits including:

- ?? Eliminating the 1+0 year in a bareroot bed
- ?? Eliminating seedling extraction and cold storage
- ?? Increasing worker productivity on the transplanter
- ?? Increasing seedling bed density
- ?? Reducing poorly planted seedlings (reducing culls)

- ?? Decreasing the time in a greenhouse (10 weeks vs. up to 9 months)
- ?? Reducing seedling costs, while producing a stocktype that meets the needs of many of our clients.

We are receiving good orders for one-year transplants for this coming year. Of course, the final test for any new stock type is survival and growth on the outplanting site. Several land management agencies (including Medford BLM and the Umpqua, Winema and Wenatchee National Forests) will be outplanting our transplant stock this coming spring and we will monitor seedling performance for several years.

Macronutrients - Nitrogen: Part 2

by Thomas D. Landis and Eric van Steenis

This article is the second part of a look at the importance of nitrogen in nursery management. Part One can be found in the Summer, 2003 issue of FNN and covered the role of nitrogen in plant nutrition and influences on seedling growth and development. Figure 2 was inadvertently omitted from that article and so we are including it here:



Figure 2 - Nitrogen is critical to photosynthesis because each chlorophyll molecule contains four nitrogen atoms at its very heart.

Influences on Plant Growth and Development

Because nitrogen is so critical to nursery production and has so many different affects on seedling physiology, you can occasionally see deficiency symptoms in forest and conservation nurseries.

Deficiency Symptoms.

The initial stages of nitrogen deficiency are difficult to diagnose because nitrogen is very mobile within the plant and minor deficiencies will first be expressed as slower growth rates ("hidden hunger"). In individual seedlings, nitrogen is translocated from older to younger tissues resulting in a color change in the older leaves or needles. First, they turn a light green, then yellow as the chlorophyll is broken down. Severely deficient foliage will appear scorched on the margins. In bareroot nursery seedbeds, competition for nitrogen can be severe between adjacent seedlings and results in a characteristic "scalloped" growth pattern (Figure 5). This symptom occurs because the larger green seedlings in the outside rows have access to fertilizer in the tractor paths while the stunted and chlorotic seedlings in the center rows must compete with each other.

Chlorosis is a poor diagnostic symptom for nitrogen deficiency, however, because yellowing can be caused by many other mineral nutrient deficiencies. Nevertheless, the symptom pattern of chlorosis beginning in the older (lower) foliage of seedlings can be diagnostic to distinguish nitrogen from magnesium, sulfur, or iron deficiencies.



Figure 5. "Scalloped" nursery beds are a common nitrogen deficiency symptom in bareroot nurseries.

Toxicity Symptoms.

Because nursery plants can take-up so much nitrogen fertilizer as luxury consumption, there are no specific nitrogen toxicity symptoms. However, over application of nitrogen fertilizer can cause typical salt damage symptoms such as scorching and curling of needle tips or leaf margins. Toxicity can also be caused by high levels of ammonium-based nitrogen fertilizers, resulting in the death of root tips. These damaged root systems are expressed as drought stress and reduced nutrient uptake ability, and so wilting of succulent seedling tissue when water is not limiting and evapotranspiration is low should be investigated.

Oversupply of nitrogen fertilizers can also be expressed in deficiencies of other nutrient elements. These "induced deficiencies" may be due to ion competition in the root zone such as ammonium competing with calcium, or they may be the result of internal nutrient imbalances. Induced deficiencies result because mineral elements are utilized in varied proportions according to need. For example, sulphur atoms are needed for protein synthesis at approximately one-tenth the rate of nitrogen atoms. Oversupplying nitrogen causes a relative depletion of sulphur, resulting in a "nitrogen-induced sulphur deficiency". The plant responds by preferentially constructing proteins that require less sulphur atoms, which sacrifices processes and structures that require high-S-proportion proteins.

Monitoring Nitrogen

Nitrogen can be monitored by chemical analysis of soils, growing media or plant tissue.

Soil Tests.

The many organic and inorganic forms of nitrogen in the because of its high mobility in the plant and growth

soil make it extremely difficult to use soil tests as a diagnostic tool. Therefore, many nurseries apply nitrogen fertilizer based on crop response rather than soil test results. Some nurseries do monitor nitrate concentrations in soils as a rough indication of nitrogen availability or as an indication of the need to leach high nitrate levels from the root zone.

Artificial Growing Media Tests.

Chemical tests for nitrogen availability are not common in container nurseries either. Because of their high organic matter content, artificial growing media have large reserves of nitrogen in the peat moss, compost or other organic components. Therefore, most container growers have developed their fertilization regimes based on seedling growth response rather than media tests.



Figure 6. Nitrogen concentration in relation to color of Norway spruce needles (modified from 5).

Seedling Tissue Analysis.

Chemical analysis of plant tissue has been done more for nitrogen than for any other mineral nutrient. However, because of its high mobility in the plant and growth

Table 3 -	Nitrogen	Concentration (%)) in Conifer Seedlin	g Tissue During t	the Growing Seaso	n For Conifers
in Eastern	n Canada					

a . a	Seedling Age of Production Stage					
Species Group	3 to 10 weeks	10 to 16 weeks	Hardening	Storage		
Pine	2.8 to 3.0	2.5 to 2.8	2.2 to 2.5	1.9 to 2.2		
Spruce	3.2 to 3.6	2.5 to 3.0	2.5 to 2.8	1.9 to 2.2		
Modified from 4						

dilution effects during the season, good standards have been hard to develop for many forest and conservation crops. Nevertheless, experienced nursery managers frequently test foliage samples to fine-tune crop development or test the effectiveness of a particular fertilizer regime. Some growers do analyze foliar samples during the growing season to develop their own standards (Table 3). More commonly, foliar samples are collected from seedlings in the fall or during the hardening phase eliminate the growth dilution effect.

The nitrogen adequacy range for conifer foliage is generally considered to be between 1.5% and 3.5%. Below 1.5% seedling growth will be retarded - this is the "hidden hunger" symptom that is so difficult to diagnose. Towards the lower end of the adequate range (1.5 - 1.8% nitrogen) most species will grow slower and display lighter green foliage. Seedlings being grown at levels between 2.5 and 3.5% nitrogen generally display lush green color and can produce very soft, succulent tissue under optimum growing conditions (Figure 6). Under operational conditions, growers generally maintain seedling foliage nitrogen levels between 2 and 3% during active growth and allow it to ramp down to about 2% prior to lifting or winter storage (Table 3). When monitoring crops for nitrogen status, it is important to base decisions on solid data trends rather than "snapshots in time". Having a series of foliar nutrient analyses done over several seasons and graphing the results is easy with computer software. Tracking the status of several nutrients on the same graph can be valuable in exposing mineral nutrient interactions.

Nitrogen Management

Managing nitrogen in forest and conservation nurseries is critical, not only because large amounts of nitrogen fertilizers are used to force growth but because nitrogen pollution is an increasingly serious problem in all forms of agriculture.

Fertilizers, Application Methods and Rates in Bareroot Nurseries.

A wide variety of nitrogen fertilizers are being used in forest and conservation nurseries (Table 4). In bareroot nurseries, recommendations are often given as "actual nitrogen" instead of bulk fertilizer which allows growers to convert easily to whatever fertilizer they are using. For example, 40 lbs (18.1 kg) of actual nitrogen would convert to an application of 190 lbs (6.2 kg) of ammonium sulfate (21-0-0), or 121 lbs (54.9 kg) of ammonium nitrate (33-0-0).

Organic Nitrogen Fertilizers - In the early days, manure was the most common nitrogen fertilizer but it is not widely used today because of its volume and weight, variable quality, and contamination with weed seeds. All organic fertilizers are slow-release and contain a full complement of mineral nutrients compared with many synthetic brands. Today, only a few organic fertilizers such as Milorganite[®] have been used in bareroot nurseries because of their lower analysis and consequently higher application costs (Table 4). One of the underappreciated benefits of organic fertilizers is the large amount of organic matter that they add to the soil. For example, applying Milorganite[®] at an annual rate of 200 lbs of N/acre (224 kg/ha) will supply almost 2 tons (1.8 mt) of organic matter to the soil as a by-product of fertilization.

Synthetic Nitrogen Fertilizers - Most contemporary fertilizers used in forest and conservation nurseries are synthetically produced and are inorganic salts (Table 4). The choice of fertilizer is dependent on the application method. Applying granular fertilizer to the soil surface ("top dressing") is the most common application method for nitrogen fertilizers in bareroot nurseries although incorporation and banding are sometimes used. For example, monammonium or diammonium phosphate are banded at the time of sowing to ensure uniform placement in the root zone. After seedling emergence, ammonium sulfate and ammonium nitrate are commonly top-dressed and their choice depends on their effect on soil pH, the former lowering it and the latter raising it.

The newest option for applying nitrogen fertilizers is through sprayers. A stock solution of soluble fertilizer is diluted with water in a spray tank and then sprayed over the crop. An exciting feature is that new computercontrolled sprayers can change application rates for different crops or soil types without stopping. Spray application is also much more uniform than other fertilizer application equipment (15).

Application Rates and Timing - Nitrogen applications for bareroot nurseries are generally applied based on estimates of crop use because there is no acceptable soil test for determining total available nitrogen. The actual amount of nitrogen that a seedling crop requires is dependent on species, seedling density, climate, and soil type. Fertilizer nutrient recovery is also relatively low ranging from 13 to 16% nitrogen for a 1+0 crop to perhaps 50% during the 2+0 year. Therefore, most nursery managers apply from 50 to 250 (56 to 280 kg/ ac) of nitrogen during a rotation (16).

One of the most scientific ways of determining the proper time for nitrogen applications is the degree day

Table 4 -	· Types of Fertil	izers Commonl	y Used in Forest and	Conservation Nurseries
-----------	-------------------	---------------	----------------------	-------------------------------

Fertilizer	Ν	utrient Analys	sis	Nursery	Application	Remarks	
	%N	%P ₂ O ₅	%K ₂ O	Туре	Method		
Milorganite®	6	2	0	BR	Top-Dressed or Incorporated	An organic fertilizer made from municipal sludge	
Urea (NH ₂ -CO-NH ₂)	46	0	0	BR	Top-Dressed or Incorporated	A dry material in dry or prilled form	
Ammonium nitrate NH ₄ NO ₃	33-34	0	0	BR & C	Top-Dressed or Fertigation	A dry material in dry or prilled form	
Ammonium sulfate (NH ₄) ₂ SO ₄	21	0	0	BR	Top-Dressed	A dry crystalline material. Contains 24% sulfur.	
Diammonium phosphate (DAP) (NH ₄) ₂ HPO ₄	18	46	0	BR	Incorporated or Banded	A dry granular or crystalline material	
Monoammonium phosphate (MAP) NH ₄ H ₂ PO ₄	11	52	0	BR	Incorporated or Banded	A dry granular material	
Potassium nitrate KNO ₃	13	0	45	С	Fertigation	Dry crystalline material.	
Plant Products 20-20-20	20	20	20	С	Fertigation	Completely soluble with micronutrients	
Scotts Excel Cal-Mag 15-5-15	15	5	15	С	Fertigation	Completely soluble, with calcium, magnesium, sulfur and micronutrients.	
Scotts Peters Plant Starter 9-45-15	9	45	15	С	Fertigation	Completely soluble with high P for young plants.	
Scotts Peters Foliar Feed 27-15-12	27	15	12	С	Fertigation	Completely soluble with high urea for quick "green-up."	
		Control	led-Release	Formulations	•	•	
Osmocote Fast Start; 8 to 9 month release	18	6	12	С	Incorporation	Polymeric resin- coated prills; 10.4% Ammonium, 7.6% Nitrate	
Osmocote High N; 8 to 9 month release	24	4	8	С	Incorporation	Polymeric resin- coated prills; 18.5% Urea/ Ammonium, 5.5% Nitrate	
Polyon 25-4-12; 8 to 9 month release	25	4	12	С	Incorporation	Polyurethane- coated prills; 22.4% Urea/ Ammonium, 2.6% Nitrate	
Nutricote 270; 8 to 9 month release	18	6	8	С	Incorporation	Thermoplastic resin- coated prills 8.6% Ammonium, 9.4% Nitrate	

system which uses accumulated heat units. The degree day approach is attractive because the fertilizer applications are synchronized with seedling growth which, of course, is closely controlled by temperature. Either ambient or soil temperature can be used as a degree-day basis although soil temperatures are more stable and more accurately reflect the environment where the nutrient uptake is actually occurring. Because of climatic and edaphic variation, each nursery must develop its own degree day system.

Another effective procedure is to schedule nitrogen fertilizer applications in bareroot nurseries based on seedling growth curves. Plots of growth increments show the times when shoot growth generally occurs and so fertilizer applications can be scheduled at regular intervals during this period (Figure 7). This approach ensures that the fertilizer is available during the time of maximum seedling growth rather than later in the year when the fertilizer would he wasted or could adversely affect the onset of dormancy or frost hardiness.

Fertilizers, Application Methods and Rates in Container Nurseries.

With regards to nitrogen fertilizers, there are two options for container nursery managers: 1) incorporate controlled-release fertilizers (CRF) into the growing media prior to sowing, or 2) apply liquid fertilizer through the irrigation system ("fertigation"). With larger containers, it is possible to top-dress with soluble or CRF fertilizers but this is not common in forest and conservation nurseries.

Incorporation of Controlled Release Fertilizers - Some nurseries traditionally mixed a "starter dose" of soluble fertilizer in their growing media but the newest trend is to incorporate controlled release fertilizers. Although Osmocote[®] and some other products have been around for decades, the newest brands and formulations offer better nutrient release patterns lasting up to 12 to 14 months (Table 4). These longer release formulations are designed to promote some growth in the nursery but also give a boost to seedlings after outplanting. To supplement the incorporated CRF, growers add enough additional nitrogen through fertigation to achieve desired growth rates and seedling quality.

One thing to notice about CRF is that the ammonium to nitrate ratio is different from brand to brand and between formulations of the same brand. Polyon[®] 25-4-12 contains a much higher percentage of urea/ammonium than nitrate nitrogen compared to Nutricote[®] 18-6-8, which contains an almost even balance of the nitrogen ions. Likewise, Osmocote High N[®] contains much more



Figure 7. Nitrogen fertilizer should be scheduled in 4 to 5 applications per season, the majority applied early in the growing season to promote shoot growth. In this example, the fertilizer applications are given as amount of actual nitrogen in pounds per acre (1 lb/ac = 1.12 kg/ha).

urea/ammonium than nitrate compared to Osmocote Fast Start[®], which is much closer to equal proportions (Table 4).

Fertigation with Soluble Fertilizers - Fertigation is a quick, easy, and cheap application method and so most nurseries inject soluble nitrogen fertilizer through the irrigation system. Of course, the rate and uniformity of nitrogen applied to each container is completely dependent on the efficiency of the irrigation system. Regulating the amount of nitrogen fertilizer is used to control seedling growth rate and plant succulence, and container growers typically apply from 50 to 250 ppm nitrogen, depending on species and growth stage. Formulations should generally contain a mixture of ammonium and nitrate, favoring more nitrate during low light conditions in the winter and early spring, and adding more ammonium as light increases. For examples of typical fertigation regimes, see Volume Four of the Container Tree Nursery Manual (9).

Exponential fertilization is a relatively new fertigation technique which applies nitrogen fertilizer at an increasing rate over the growing season. This corresponds more closely to the exponential growth rate of seedlings, providing more nutrient gradually as the crop increases in size. This technique has been advocated by Timmer (14) and is being done operationally in eastern Canada. Although the theory is attractive, exponential fertilization has yet to find widespread use in container nurseries in the rest of the country.

Nutrient Loading.

Some nurseries in Eastern Canada have used high nitrogen fertilizer rates (up to 6X normal rates) to buildup nutrient reserves prior to harvesting. This "nutrient loading" involves forcing foliar nitrogen levels into the luxury consumption range without causing bud break or other detrimental changes in morphology. When combined with exponential fertilization, these exponentially loaded seedlings have exhibited greater growth after outplanting compared to conventionally fertilized stock. This practice is particularly recommended for outplanting sites with severe vegetative competition where rapid height growth is advantageous (11). So far, nutrient loading has only been proven in specific situations and it remains to be seen whether it will achieve widespread use in other outplanting environments.

Effects of Overfertilization.

Over-application of nitrogen fertilizers can create several problems including:

1) Poor shoot-to-root balance - High nitrogen fertilization rates, especially with ammonium, can produce excess shoot growth at the expense of root growth (Figure 8A). This can cause problems both in the nursery and after outplanting. Top-heavy seedlings are often culled because customers know that they will be at a disadvantage on the outplanting site.

2) Delayed dormancy or hardiness - High levels of nitrogen fertilizer have been shown to prolong tissue succulence, stimulate growth of lammas shoots, and increase the possibility of cold injury in the Fall (Figure 9). Because nitrogen fertilization has such an overriding control on both the seedling growth rate and hardening, it is intuitive to make this association. Several specific research studies are documented in Volumes Four and Six of the Container Tree Nursery Manual (8 & 9).

3) Water pollution - It is hard for nursery managers not to be tempted to overfertilize with nitrogen fertilizer, considering the tremendous growth boost that it provides (Figure 8A). After all, fertilizer is still relatively cheap. However, a combination of a rising environmental consciousness and fear of restrictive legislation has made nurseries take another look at their fertilization practices. Plants are only able to uptake and utilize a given amount of nitrogen at a time which is known at their "nitrogen use efficiency". This efficiency has been shown to decrease with increasing fertilization rates (Figure 8B), increasing the pollution potential. For example a study at the Forest Research Greenhouse at



Figure 8. Increasing nitrogen fertilization stimulated both shoot and root growth of container seedlings of interior spruce (Picea glauca x engelmannii) but, at the highest level, root growth was depressed (A). As the nitrogen fertilizer rate increased, the ability of the seedlings to utilize the fertilizer decreased (B) and the potential for nitrogen runoff increased (modified from 13).



Figure 9. Cold injury was found to increase with foliar nitrogen concentration in these balsam fir transplants (modified from 4).

the University of Idaho showed between 32 and 60% of all nitrogen applied in fertigation was discharged in the wastewater (2).

Nitrates are very mobile in water and can easily escape from nurseries in surface runoff or leach to groundwater. This nitrate pollution can adversely affect human health, especially in babies, and nitrates also pose a significant threat to water quality through eutrophication. Eutrophication refers to the excessive nutrient enrichment of water, which results in nuisance production of algae and other water plants (12).

4) Reduced Outplanting Performance - High nitrogen fertilization has been shown to adversely affect seedling survival and growth after outplanting. The exact reason for this varies but has been attributed to non-hardy stock, remedies. IN: Landis TD and Cregg B, eds. National seedlings with disproportionately small root systems, and an increased amount of animal damage. Although many of these reports are anecdotal, several research studies have linked high foliar nitrogen levels and poor outplanting performance.

Note that this is apparently in direct conflict with the practice of exponential nutrient loading as discussed earlier. The reasons for this apparent contradiction are unclear but can most likely be related to conditions on the outplanting site. As has been found to be true for fertilization at time of outplanting, nutrient-loaded seedlings have performed best on mesic sites with high levels of vegetative competition (14). The added

nutrient boost apparently helps seedlings outgrow the surrounding vegetation and the presence of other vegetation reduces the animal damage. On drier outplanting sites, however, the nutrient-loaded seedlings are more exposed to animals and the higher salts levels in the foliage is thought to actually attract herbivores.

Conclusions and Recommendations - Part 2

Nitrogen is by far the most important mineral nutrient in forest and conservation nurseries but managing nitrogen fertilization has environmental as well as economic effects. Nitrogen deficiency rarely occurs in nurseries due to the high levels of fertilization, and toxicity is not seen because plants can uptake large amounts of nitrogen as luxury consumption. Monitoring nitrogen is primarily done by observing seedling growth rates and through chemical analysis of foliage. In bareroot nurseries, synthetic nitrogen fertilizers are sometimes applied by incorporation or banding but top-dressing is still most common. Injecting soluble fertilizers through the irrigation system is the most widespread technique for applying nitrogen in container nurseries. Some container growers incorporate controlled-release fertilizers into their growing media and supplement it with soluble fertilizers. Because of growing concern about the serious consequences of overfertilization with nitrogen, both bareroot and container growers are monitoring fertilization more closely. The benefits of nutrient loading seedlings on outplanting performance is currently being tested on outplanting sites across the country.

References and Further Reading

1. Cabrera RI. 1997. Comparative evaluation of nitrogen release patterns from controlled-release-fertilizers by nitrogen leaching analysis. HortScience 32(4): 669-673.

2. Dumroese RK, Wenny DL, Page-Dumroese DS. 1995. Nursery waste water: the problem and possible proceedings: Forest and Conservation Nursery Associations, 1995. General Technical Report PNW-GTR-365. Portland, OR: USDA Forest Service, Pacific Northwest Research Station: 89-97

3. Hallett RD. 1982. Monitoring crop development during the rearing of containerized seedlings. IN: Scarratt JB, Glerum C, Plexman CA eds., COJFRC Symposium Proceedings O-P-10: Proceedings of the Canadian Containerized Tree Seedling Symposium; 1981 Sept. 14-16; Toronto, ON. Sault Ste. Marie, ON: Canadian Forestry Service, Great Lakes Forest Research Centre: 245-253.

4. Hallett, RD. 1984. Forest nursery practice in the Maritimes. IN: Hallett RD, Cameron MD, Murray TS, eds. Proceedings of the Reforestation in the Maritimes 1984 Symposium, 1983 Apr 3-4, Moncton, NB. Fredericton, NB: Canadian Forestry Service, Maritimes Forest Research Centre: 81-107.

5. Grossnickle SC. 2000. Ecophysiology of northern spruce species: the performance of planted seedlings. Ottawa, ON: NRC Research Press. 409 p.

6. Jacobs DF, Rose R, Haase DL. 2002. Incorporating controlled-release fertilizer technology into outplanting. In: Riley LE, Dumroese RK, Landis TD, technical coordinators. National Proceedings: Forest and Conservation Nursery Associations—2002. Ogden, UT: USDA Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Research Station. Proceedings RMRS-P-28: 37-42.

7. Lavender DP. 1970. Foliar analysis and how it is used: a review. Research Note 52. Corvallis, OR: Oregon State University, Forest Research Laboratory. 8 p.

8. Landis TD, Tinus RW, Barnett JP. 1999. The Container Tree Nursery Manual: Volume 6, Seedling Propagation. Agric. Handbk. 674. Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service. 166 p.

9. Landis TD, Tinus RW, McDonald SE, Barnett JP. 1989. The Container Tree Nursery Manual: Volume 4, Seedling Nutrition and Irrigation. Agric. Handbk. 674. Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service. 166 p.

10. Landis TD, Fischer JW. 1986. How to determine fertilizer rates and application timing in bareroot forest nurseries. IN: Landis TD, Fischer JW. Proceedings: Intermountain Nurseryman's Association Meeting; Gen. Tech. Rep. RM-125. 1985 August 13-15; Ft. Collins, CO: USDA Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Forest and Range Experiment Station: 87-94.

11. Landis TD 1983. Mineral Nutrition as an Index of Seedling Quality. IN: Duryea ML (ed.). 1985. Proceedings: Evaluating *seedling* quality: principles, *procedures, and predictive abilities of major tests.* Workshop held October 16-18, 1984. Forest Research Laboratory, Oregon State University, Corvallis.

12. Landis TD, Campbell S, Zensen F. 1992. Agricultural pollution of surface water and groundwater in forest nurseries. IN: Landis TD, ed. Intermountain Forest Nursery Association, proceedings, 1992. General Technical Report RM-211. Ft. Collins, CO: USDA Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Forest and Range Experiment Station: 1-15.

13. Miller BD, Hawkins BJ. 2003. Nitrogen uptake and utilization by slow- and fast-growing families of interior spruce under contrasting fertility guidelines. Canadian Journal of Forest Research 33: 959-966.

14. Timmer VR. 1979. Exponential nutrient loading: a new fertilization technique to improve seedling performance on competitive sites. New Forests 13(1-3):279-299.

15. Triebwasser ME. 2003. Fertilizer application: balancing precision, efficacy, and cost. In: Riley LE, Dumroese RK, Landis TD, technical coordinators. National Proceedings: Forest and Conservation Nursery Associations—2003. Ogden (UT): USDA Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Research Station. In Press.

16. van den Driessche R. 1984. Soil fertility in forest nurseries. IN: Duryea ML, Landis TD, eds. Forest Nursery Manual: Production of Bareroot Seedlings. Hingham, MA: Kluwer Academic Publishers: 63-74.

Seedling Quality Tests: Bud Dormancy

by Gary Ritchie and Tom Landis

In the Winter 2002 issue of Forest Nursery Notes, we initiated a series of articles on seeding quality testing with a discussion of the popular root growth potential test. In the Summer 2003 issue we reviewed aspects of the cold hardiness test. In this third installment, we will discuss dormancy - what it means, how it can be measured, and how it can be practically used in forest and conservation nurseries.

The Concept of Dormancy

Dormancy is one of the oldest concepts in nursery science. Foresters learned by observation and trial and error that plants could be transplanted and outplanted most successfully when they were not actively growing. In the temperate zone, this occurs in winter so nurseries have traditionally harvested their stock during this period. The concept of the "lifting window" was developed by harvesting and outplanting seedlings from late fall through early spring and measuring survival and growth. These trials supported the traditional practice of harvesting during mid-winter, and people interpreted these results to mean that seedlings were most "dormant" during this period. However, as we will show, the concept of a mid-winter dormancy peak is not correct.

Defining dormancy. Okay then, what do we mean by dormancy in forest and conservation nurseries? Dormancy has a couple of common definitions: a state of minimal metabolic activity; or any time that a plant tissue is predisposed to grow, but does not (6). In other words, dormancy is that condition in which plant growth – cell division and enlargement – is not occurring. In horticulture, dormancy can refer to either seed dormancy or plant dormancy. In the published literature, seed dormancy has been much more studied than plant dormancy but it is the latter that we are concerned with here.

Two kinds of plant dormancy are recognized: 1) imposed dormancy, also known as "quiescence", occurs when environmental conditions (*e.g.* severe water stress) will not support growth (6). Plants exhibiting imposed dormancy will resume growth when these unfavorable conditions are relaxed (*e.g.* it rains). 2) Internal or deep dormancy is a condition in which plants will not resume growth until they have experienced a long period of exposure to low temperatures (8). This article will focus on deep dormancy and how this physiological condition affects nursery culture.

Dormancy Refers to Tissues, Not Plants - In everyday nursery jargon we talk about seedlings, or even entire crops, being dormant. While this is common terminology, it is important to understand that plant dormancy refers to a specific meristematic tissue –



Figure 1. The shoots of all perennial plants, including forest and conservation nursery stock, undergo a seasonal cycle of shoot growth and dormancy. Note that peak dormancy occurs in late fall instead of mid-winter as is popularly believed. Bud dormancy is released by cumulative exposure to cold temperatures - the chilling requirement (modified from 2).

usually buds. In the same seedling, buds may be dormant while the lateral meristem may not. Root meristems never truly go dormant and will grow anytime that environmental conditions, especially temperature, are favorable. So, since we are concerned with seedling quality testing, we will be discussing bud dormancy, which is most clearly observed in the behavior of terminal buds.

The Dormancy Cycle - Perennial plants that grow in temperate regions exhibit a pronounced seasonal "cycle of dormancy" (Figure 1). In spring, as day length and temperature increase, plant buds begin to exhibit dimensional increases reflecting both cell division and expansion - in other words, they begin to grow. Shoot growth persists through spring and into summer. In early summer, as the day length (photoperiod) begins to shorten, the increasing length of the dark period is perceived by the phytochrome system in the leaves as a signal to begin preparing for winter. At this point shoot growth slows, and winter bud development proceeds (2, 3).

The Chilling Requirement - Through late summer, plant shoots enter the condition of imposed dormancy. As summer surrenders to autumn, imposed dormancy gradually gives way to deep dormancy and buds reach maximum dormancy in late fall (270 degrees in Figure 1). As we just discussed, the only way this dormant condition can be released is by exposure of the plants to an extended period of low temperature (this is known as a "chilling requirement") that is sensed by the buds. This evolutionary adaptation ensures that plants will not break bud during a mid-winter warm spell only to be killed by a return of cold weather. Once this chilling



Figure 2. A range of chilling temperatures is effective at breaking bud dormancy (modified from 1). Note that temperatures in the range of refrigerated storage [30 to 33° F (-1°C to +1°C)] are relatively inefficient at releasing dormancy (modified from 1).

requirement is satisfied, warm spring temperatures and, to a lesser extent, lengthening photoperiod, will trigger and sustain a resumption of shoot growth (4). Although temperatures in the range of about 3°C to 5°C (37°F to 41°F) are most efficient at releasing bud dormancy (1), temperatures above and below this range also are effective to a lesser degree (Figure 2).

Orchardists, and other horticulturalists, have developed elaborate models to predict the date of flower bud opening in cold sensitive crops such as peaches (9). These models take into account the efficiency of chilling and the fact that warm interruptions during late fall can negate some chilling that has occurred up to that time. In forest nurseries, however, this level of precision is not warranted, and a simplified process involving calculating chill sums or chilling hours has been effective. The details are given in the following section.

Measuring Dormancy

Because of its tremendous importance to nursery management, there have been many attempts to develop a simple way to measure dormancy. As we will now discuss, this objective has been elusive:

1. Dormancy meters. In the 1970s, researchers observed that changes in electrical resistance of plants provided a useful way to determine whether tissues were injured or dead. Building on these observations, they constructed a "dormancy meter" (Figure 3) with the objectives of measuring dormancy in the fall and telling nursery managers when it was safe to lift their stock. Unfortunately, subsequent tests showed that these meters were not reliable (13). The idea of a simple "black box" is still attractive but it is doubtful that any equipment or technique will be able to instantaneously measure bud dormancy.



Figure 3. The "dormancy meter" was an attempt to find a simple and easy way to measure dormancy and determine when seedlings were ready for harvest. It didn't work, perhaps because they weren't even measuring bud tissue!

		Daily Temperatures (°F)			Degree Days	Chilling Sum (Days)
Day	Base Temp. (°F)	Maximum	Minimum	Average		(Du _J 5)
One	40	40	20	30	10	10
Two	40	45	35	40	0	10
Three	40	50	40	45	0	10
Four	40	40	30	35	5	15

Table 1—An Example of How to Calculate Chilling Sums Using Degree Days, Calculated from an Average of Daily Maximum and Minimum Temperatures and a 40 °F (4.5 °C) Base Temperature

2. Chilling Sums. The easiest and most practical method for estimating the intensity of bud dormancy as it weakens during winter is based on the chilling requirement that we just discussed. The concept is logical enough - the cumulative exposure of seedlings to cold temperatures controls the release of dormancy. So, by measuring the duration of this exposure, it is possible to estimate the intensity of dormancy indirectly. In practice, chilling hours, or degree-hardening-days (DHD) have been used.

The process involves measuring the temperature each day and calculating the amount of time below some reference temperature. There are several different formulas for calculating chilling sums. One short cut method is to record the daily maximum and minimum temperatures, average them, and subtract this average from the base temperature. Note that, when calculating chilling sums, only negative values are recorded (Table 1).



Figure 4. The only reliable test for bud dormancy intensity is a bud break test which can be performed by bringing seedlings into a greenhouse at various times during winter. As they break bud, the number of days to bud break (DBB) is plotted against the chilling sum for each lift date.

3. Bud Break Test. The deeper their dormancy, the slower the terminal buds will break. This phenomenon forms the basis of the only direct way of measuring dormancy intensity – the bud break test. If you have access to a greenhouse or other growth-promoting structure where you can maintain ideal growing environment through the winter, then you can measure intensity of dormancy in your nursery stock by observing days to bud break (DBB).

The procedure is relatively simple. Grow your plants to shippable size in seedbeds or containers and harden them to the fully dormant condition. Container stock should be kept outside or fully exposed to ambient conditions. At this point, it will be fall and your seedlings should have formed a winter bud and exhibit the other morphological changes such as increased needle waxes in conifers and leaf color change and abscission in hardwood stock. Place your temperaturerecording device at seedling height in the bareroot seedbeds or within the containers. Check temperatures at least weekly and record them to compute your chilling sums (Table 1).

Set the environmental controls in the greenhouse to maintain spring "forcing" conditions with warm days, cool nights, and long photoperiods using lights. Then, beginning around Halloween, harvest a sample of seedlings and bring them into the greenhouse at regular intervals through the winter. With each sample group, pot them if they are bareroot stock and label them. Then, keep the sample seedlings watered, and count the number of days required for the terminal buds to break (Figure 4). Repeat this process at every major holiday: Thanksgiving (late November), Christmas (late December), New Years Day (early January), Valentine Day (mid-February) and St. Patrick's Day (late March). When you have finished, plot the DBB values over the chilling sums. The number of days required for the terminal buds to break is a direct measure of dormancy intensity. (Note: the Halloween seedlings may never break bud). You will likely obtain results similar to those shown in Figure 4, which came from coastal Douglas-fir in western Washington and Oregon (10) and are in agreement with the general curve proposed by Lavender (6). As the chilling sum accumulates during winter, the number of days to bud break will shorten dramatically. Similar experiments have been done with many tree species, always yielding similar results (12). Once this curve has been developed for a species or response group, it can be used subsequently to estimate the dormancy intensity from chilling sums.

From this experiment, it is clear that bud dormancy intensity is very high in the fall and drops sharply in early winter, in contrast to the common misconception that deepest dormancy occurs in mid-winter. In addition, this test illustrates that there is no simple "chilling requirement" for any species. Rather, there is a curvilinear relationship between chilling and dormancy in which more chilling will result in more rapid bud break. For example, seedlings with only 800 hours of chilling will eventually break bud, but not nearly as rapidly as those exposed to 2,000 hours of chilling (Figure 4).

4. Calculating The Dormancy Release Index. Now that the days to bud break for your crop have been estimated, how can you use this information? If you were to measure bud break rate on a group of seedlings that were fully released from dormancy (*i.e.*, the chilling requirement was completely fulfilled) you would find that buds would break in about ten days. Taking this number as the denominator, you can then calculate an



Figure 5 - Because days to bud break (DBB) is a curvilinear relationship, it is useful to convert to a linear dormancy release index (DRI). In this example, DRI = 10/DBB because Douglas-fir seedlings flushed in 10 days when their full chilling requirement was satisfied (10).

index that expresses the dormancy intensity on a linear scale:

Dormancy release index (DRI) = 10/DBB (1)

where DBB is the days to bud break of a test group of seedlings as described in the experiment above.

Seedling buds at peak dormancy have a DRI value near zero (e.g., DRI = 10/300 = 0.03). As dormancy weakens, DRI approaches one (e.g., DRI = 10/15 = 0.67). This relationship is shown in Figure 5. DRI is useful because it linearizes the response of dormancy intensity to the chilling sum. Hence it can be used to provide a benchmark and for normalizing the response of stock lots to chilling.



Figure 6. Measuring cell division rates is a laboratory measure of dormancy. Shoot activity over four years shows a characteristic pattern of inactivity during winter (A), but roots (B) continue to grow whenever conditions are favorable (7).

The Dormancy Release Index has been particularly useful as an indicator of seedlings stress resistance -a key seedling quality attribute. In a sequel to this article we will discuss this relationship and how it is used.

5. Measuring Mitotic Index. In our definition of dormancy, we stressed that dormancy could refer only to buds or other plant meristems. A technique has been developed to determine the percentage of bud cells that are dividing at any given time. This is a laboratory test and has been used only for research purposes, but the results help illustrate dormancy patterns. For example, the tips of terminal shoots and long roots of bareroot Douglas-fir seedlings were excised and a mitotic index was calculated under microscopes at 400X for four successive winters (7). The results indicate that terminal bud activity shows a definite seasonal pattern - cell division slows gradually in the fall and stops completely during the winter. With the warmer temperatures and longer days of late winter and early spring, cell division begins to increase rapidly (Figure 6A). This is in direct contrast to the patterns of root meristem activity over the same four seasons, showing that roots never do go truly dormant but will grow whenever soil temperatures permit (Figure 6B). So, although measuring the mitotic index in buds can mark the beginning and end of deep dormancy, this technique has little practical value for tracking dormancy release through winter.

6. Bud Size and Development. While bud size and development are not, in themselves, indicative of the intensity of bud dormancy, they have traditionally been viewed by nursery managers as a measure of seedling quality. As part of their seedling quality testing, the Ontario Ministry of Natural Resources developed a bud dissection and evaluation service. The process involves cutting the buds in half and counting the needle primordia. At the end of the hardening phase, low numbers of primordia were interpreted to indicate stressful conditions and increased susceptibility of overwinter damage. On the other hand, seedlots having buds with large numbers of needle primordia were rated as being of higher quality (5). The Ontario Ministry of Natural Resources has terminated their seedling quality testing program but KBM Forestry Consultant Laboratories in Thunder Bay, ON now offer bud assessment on a fee basis:

SQA Coordinator 349 Mooney Avenue Thunder Bay, ON CANADA P7B 5L5 TEL: 807.345.5445 ex. 34 FAX: 807.345.3440 E-mail: sgellert@kbm.on.ca

Conclusions and Recommendations

Although the term "dormant plants" is common in nursery jargon, dormancy refers only to meristematic tissues - buds, lateral cambium, and root tips. Of these, bud dormancy has been studied most often and is of major interest to nurseries.

Forest and conservation nursery seedlings, like all perennial plants, undergo an annual cycle of activity. In late summer, shortening photoperiods trigger plants to begin the bud dormancy process, which culminates in late fall. This condition is known as deep dormancy and can be broken by gradual exposure to low temperatures. This process is known as satisfying the chilling requirement, and temperatures in the range of about 37° F to 41° F (3° C to 5° C) are most efficient. By late winter, the chilling requirement has been met and buds will break whenever temperatures permit.

Unfortunately, there is no quick and easy way to measure bud dormancy. The only reliable method is to conduct a bud break test by bringing samples of seedlings into a forcing greenhouse at regular intervals throughout the winter, and recording the days required for the buds to break (DBB). Once the relationship between DBB and chilling has been established, it can be used to estimate the dormancy intensity during subsequent winters.

References

1. Anderson JL, Seeley SD. 1993. Bloom delay in deciduous fruits. IN: Janick J, ed. Horticultural Reviews 13. New York: John Wiley and Sons: 97-144

2. Burr KE. 1990. The target seedling concepts: bud dormancy and cold hardiness. IN: Rose R, Campbell SJ, Landis TD, eds. Target seedling symposium: proceedings, combined meeting of the Western Forest Nursery Associations, General Technical Report RM-200. Ft. Collins, CO: USDA Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Forest and Range Experiment Station: 79-90.

3. Burr KE, Tinus RW, Wallner SJ, King RM. 1989. Relationships among cold hardiness, root growth potential and bud dormancy in three conifers. Tree Physiology 5: 291-306.

4. Campbell RK. 1978. Regulation of bud burst timing by temperature and photoperiod regime during dormancy. In: Hollis CA, Squillace AE, eds. Proceedings of Fifth North American Forest Biology Workshop. Athens, GA: USDA Forest Service, Southeastern Forest Experiment Station: 19-34 5. Colombo SJ, Sampson PH, Templeton CWG, McDonough TC, Menes PA, DeYoe D, Grossnickle SC. 2001. Assessment of nursery stock quality in Ontario. IN: Wagner RG and Colombo SJ, eds. Regenerating the Canadian forest: principles and practice for Ontario. Markham, ON: Ontario Ministry of Natural Resources and Fitzhenry & Whiteside Ltd: 307-323.

6. Lavender DP. 1984. Bud dormancy. In: Duryea, ML. Evaluating seedling quality: principles, procedures, and predictive abilities of major tests. Corvallis, OR: Oregon State University, Forest Research Laboratory: 7-15.

7. O'Reilly C, McCarthy N, Keane M, Harper CP, Gardiner JJ. 1999. The physiological status of Douglas fir seedlings and the field performance of freshly lifted and cold stored stock. Annals of Forest Science 56: 297-306.

8. Perry TO. 1971. Dormancy of trees in winter. Science 171: 29-36.

9. Richardson EA, Seeley SD, Walker DR. 1974. A model for estimating the completion of rest for "Redhaven" and "Elberta" peach trees. HortScience 9: 331-332.

10. Ritchie GA. 1984. Effect of freezer storage on bud dormancy release in Douglas-fir seedlings. Canadian Journal of Forest Research 14: 186-190.

11. Romberger JA. 1963. Meristems, growth and development in woody plants. Washington, DC: USDA Forest Service, Technical Bulletin No. 1293. 214 p.

12. Sorensen FC. 1983. Relationship between logarithms of chilling period and germination or bud flush rate is linear for many tree species. Forest Science 29: 237-240.

13. Timmis KA, Fuchigami LH, Timmis R. 1981. Measuring dormancy: the rise and fall of square waves. HortScience 16: 200-202.

Nursery Seedlings Increase Genetic Diversity

by Thomas D. Landis

Several years ago, while perusing the published literature for FNN, I came across an article that really caught my eye because it shows that using nursery stock in reforestation has advantages from a biodiversity standpoint. It was written by some research foresters in Canada who collected needle tissue from lodgepole pine (*Pinus contorta* var. contorta) in 12 stands in Alberta. Their objective was to use two biochemical tests to examine the impact of reforestation method on genetic diversity of stands in the foothill region of Alberta, and sampled three stand classes and one batch of nursery seedlings:

1. Unharvested, mature stands

2. Harvested stands which were left for natural regeneration

3. Harvested stands which were planted with nursery stock

4. Nursery seedlings from the same region

The procedure consisted of collecting current-year needle tissue and analyzing these samples using two DNA-based markers: RAPD (randomly amplified polymorphic DNA) and microsatellite SSR (simple sequence repeat). With my limited understanding of genetics, I'm not even going to try and explain what these tests actually measure. If you are interested, let me know and I'll send you a copy of the article and you can explain it to me!

The results are reported in terms of the "Expected Heterozygosity" which I'm interpreting as "More Genetic Variation". Using either the RAPD test (Figure 1A) or the SSR test (Figure 1B), the planted seedlings had as much genetic variation as the unharvested stands and more variation than the natural seedlings. The nursery seedlings in the RAPD test had the most genetic variation of all the samples (Figure 1A).

One of the things that has always bothered me is that some people just assume that natural regeneration is better than artificial regeneration. This viewpoint is particularly true of the environmental community which believe that "nature always knows best" and that the less interference by man the better. I've always believed just the opposite - that by managing forests and other plant communities we can actually improve on nature. To me, it's intuitively obvious that, because seeds are collected from a variety of trees in a seed zone, the nursery stock grown from these seeds would be more genetically diverse than natural seedlings. The same situation should apply to fire restoration. It would be better to plant the burned area with source-identified, locally-adapted stock than wait for natural regeneration to occur.

So, it's nice to finally see some hard evidence that this actually occurs. My hunch is that if more of these sorts of tests were done, we could further prove that planting nursery stock after timber harvest, forest fire, or other type of disturbance improves the genetic diversity of the resulting plant community.

Source:

Thomas BR, Macdonald SE, Hicks M, Adams DL, Hodgetts RB. 1999. Effects of reforestation methods on genetic diversity of lodgepole pine: an assessment using microsatellite and randomly amplified polymorphic DNA markers. Theoretical and Applied Genetics 98: 793-801.



Figure 1. Two Measures of Genetic Diversity on Lodgepole Pine Reforestation Sites. "Unharv" = Unharvested stands, "Planted" = Harvested stands with nursery stock, "Natreg" = Harvested stands with natural regeneration, and "Seedlings" - Nursery stock from Same Region.

Horticultual Humor







DILBERT reprinted by permission of United Feature Syndicate, Inc.



Copies of the following journal articles or publications are free and can be ordered using the Literature Order Form on the last page of this section. Just write in the appropriate number or letter on the form and return it to us. Note that there are three restrictions:

1. Limit in the Number of Free Articles: In an effort to reduce mailing costs, we are limiting the number of free articles that can be ordered through the FNN literature service. All subscribers will be restricted to 25 free articles per issue.

2. Copyrighted Material. Items with © are copyrighted and require a fee for each copy, so only the title page and abstract will be provided through this service. If you want the entire article, then you can order a copy from a library service.

3. Special Orders (SO). Special orders are books or other publications that, because of their size or cost, require special handling. For some, the Forest Service has procured copies for free distribution, but others will have to be purchased. Prices and ordering instructions are given following each listing in the New Nursery Literature section.



1. Estimating merchantable seedlings in nursery seedbeds. Saenz-Romero, C., Marty, T. L., and Guries, R. P. Tree Planters' Notes 50(1):23-27. 2003.

2. © Influence of nursery soil amendments on water relations, root architectural development, and field performance of Douglas-fir transplants. Jacobs, D. F., Rose, R., Haase, D. L., and Morgan, P. D. New Forests 26(3):263-277. 2003.

3. Propagation protocol for bareroot bigtooth and quaking aspen using seeds. Day, R. A., Walter, R. P., Kozar, J. J., Bricker, S. J., and Bowers, J. G. Native Plants Journal 4(2):125-128. 2003.



4. Raise forklift safety awareness. Decker, B. and Mauschbaugh, A. J. Greenhouse Management and Production 23(9):46-47. 2003.

5. Safety. Alaniz, R. M. International Plant Propagators' Society, Combined Proceedings 2002, 52:648. 2003.

6. Safety training. Mulhern, B. American Nurseryman 198(9):54-56. 2003. Experts offer advice on what green industry business owners should do to keep their employees safe.

7. Skid-steer loader safety tips. Greenhouse Management and Production 23(9):49. 2003.



8. © The effect of short day treatments on containerized Douglas-fir morphology, physiology and phenology. Turner, J. and Mitchell, S. J. New Forests 26(3):279-295. 2003.

9. The evolution of container design. May, P. B. International Plant Propagators' Society, Combined Proceedings 2002, 52:100-104. 2003.

10. Lighting up profits: managing light in the greenhouse. Fisher, P. and Runkle, E. Greenhouse Grower 21(10):66-68, 70, 72. 2003.

11. Propagation of oak liners. Hart, P. International Plant Propagators' Society, Combined Proceedings 2002, 21. Germination of seeds of big and bottlebrush 52:478-481.2003.

12. Propagation protocol for container willows in the southwestern US using seeds. Dreesen, D. R. Native Plants Journal 4(2):118-124. 2003.

13. Rocketpot technology., Lawton, P. International Plant Propagators' Society, Combined Proceedings 2002, 52:113-116. 2003.

14. Root deformation in plantations of containergrown stock: consequences for growth, stability, and stem quality. Stromberg, A. International Plant Propagators' Society, Combined Proceedings 2002, 52:108-113. 2003.

15. Some new research into container design. Moore, D. G. International Plant Propagators' Society, Combined Proceedings 2002, 52:105-108. 2003.



16. Developing techniques to produce native warm and cool season grasses and forbs in Missouri. Navarrete-Tindall, N. and Erickson, B. International Plant Propagators' Society, Combined Proceedings 2002, 52:429-434. 2003.

17. Effect of bifenthrin (Talstar) on mycorrhizal colonization of California native plants in containers. Corkidi, L., Bohn, J., and Evans, M. International Plant Propagators' Society, Combined Proceedings 2002, 52:604-608. 2003.

18. The effect of invasive plants on native ecosystems -- how we can help. Young, B. L. International Plant Propagators' Society, Combined Proceedings 2002, 52:626-633.2003.

19. © Freeze / thaw stress in Ceanothus of southern California chaparral. Ewers, F. W., Lawson, M. C., Bowen, T. J., and Davis, S. D. Oecologia 136(2):213-219.2003.

20. Germination of central Australian plant seed after long-term storage. McBurnie, G. International Plant Propagators' Society, Combined Proceedings 2002, 52:83-89. 2003.

squirreltail. Young, J. A., Clements, C. D., and Jones. T. Journal of Range Management 56(3):277-281. 2003.

22. Germination of seeds of Fremont cottonwood. Young, J. A. and Clements, C. D. Journal of Range Management 56(6):660-664. 2003.

23. Germination of seeds of robust needlegrass. Young, J. A., Clements, C. D., and Jones, T. A. Journal of Range Management 56(3):247-250. 2003.

24. © Germination of the hard seed coated Opuntia tomentosa S.D., a cacti from the Mexico valley. Olvera-Carrillo, Y., Marquez-Guzman, J., Barradas, V. L., Sanchez-Coronado, M. E., and Orozco-Segovia, A. Journal of Arid Environments 55(1):29-42. 2003.

25. Growing Agaves. Irish, M. International Plant Propagators' Society, Combined Proceedings 2002, 52:624-626. 2003.

26. Growing indigenous bulbs in the Eastern Cape. McMaster, J. C. International Plant Propagators' Society, Combined Proceedings 2002, 52:48-51. 2003.

27. Improving vegetative propagation techniques of sweet fern (Comptonia peregrina). Ruchala, S. L., Zhang, D., and Mitchell, W. International Plant Propagators' Society, Combined Proceedings 2002, 52:381-387.2003.

28. Limiting your losses on hard-to-root California natives. Truscott, M. International Plant Propagators' Society, Combined Proceedings 2002, 52:590-593. 2003.

29. Micropropagation of native North American Lilium species. Petley, N. D. International Plant Propagators' Society, Combined Proceedings 2002, 52:540.2003.

30. Mycorrhizal development and plant growth in inoculated and non-inoculated plots of California native grasses and shrubs. Salyards, J. R., Evans, R. Y., and Berry, A. M. Native Plants Journal 4(2):143-149.2003.

31. Mycorrhizal fungi. McDermott, E. L. and Berry, A. M. Native Plants Journal 4(2):141-142. 2003.

32. Mycorrhizal inoculum for propagation of *Epacris impressa.* Conomikes, M. R., McLean, C. B., Starrett, M. C., and Lawrie, A. C. International Plant Propagators' Society, Combined Proceedings 2002, 52:151-155. 2003.

33. Optimizing acid scarification and stratification combinations for russet buffaloberry seeds. Rosner, L. S. and Harrington, J. T. Native Plants Journal 4(2):81-86. 2003.

34. Percussion as an alternative seed treatment for *Robinia neomexicana*. Khadduri, N. Y., Harrington, J. T., and Murray, L. Seed Science and Technology 31 (3):561-570. 2003.

35. Preliminary rooting evaluation of North American *Stewartia*, *Symplocos*, and *Persea*. Barnes, H. W., Oyerly, P., and Lewandowski, R. J. International Plant Propagators' Society, Combined Proceedings 2002, 52:551-555. 2003.

36. Propagating aquatic plants. Billing, K. International Plant Propagators' Society, Combined Proceedings 2002, 52:473-477. 2003.

37. Propagating selected submerged aquatic species of the Chesapeake Bay. Kujawski, J. International Plant Propagators' Society, Combined Proceedings 2002, 52:522-524. 2003.

38. Propagation protocol for black ash *Fraxinus nigra* Marsh. Benedict, L. and David, R. Native Plants Journal 4(2):100-103. 2003.

39. Propagation protocol for Canada lily (*Lilium canadense*). Heus, P. Native Plants Journal 4(2):107-109. 2003.

40. Recovery, propagation, and evaluation of the Box Huckleberry (*Gaylussacia brachycera*). Pooler, M. and Dix, R. International Plant Propagators' Society, Combined Proceedings 2002, 52:519-520. 2003.

41. Seed germination and propagation of *Arachnorchis formosa.* Huynh, T. T., McLean, C. B., and Lawrie, A. C. International Plant Propagators' Society, Combined Proceedings 2002, 52:161-166. 2003.

42. Seed germination of burnet *Sanguisorba* **spp.** Holloway, P. S. and Matheke, G. E. M. Native Plants Journal 4(2):95-99. 2003. **43.** Sex and the single *Salix*: considerations for riparian restoration. Landis, T. D., Dreesen, D. R., and Dumroese, R. K. Native Plants Journal 4(2):111-117 2003.

44. Trends in the western native plant seed industry since 1990. Dunne, R. A. and Dunne, C. B. Native Plants Journal 4(2):88-94. 2003.

45. Using a shop vacuum to clean Salicaceae seeds. Dawes, D. Native Plants Journal 4(2):140. 2003.

46. Vegetative propagation of southwestern plants: *Ambrosia deltoidea, Buddleja marrubifolia, Vauquelinia californica,* and *Vauquelinia corymbosa.* Schuch, U. K., Davison, E., and Kelly, J. International Plant Propagators' Society, Combined Proceedings 2002, 52:637-643. 2003.

47. Wetland plant propagation: comparative growth and reproduction of micropropagated *Sagittaria latifolia* **ecotypes.** Kane, M. E., Philman, N. L., and Emshousen, C. International Plant Propagators' Society, Combined Proceedings 2002, 52:453-459. 2003.



48. Chlorosis in field-grown maples: research discovers manganese deficiency is the culprit. Altland, J. OAN Digger 47(12):28-32. 2003.

49. Development of phosphorus indices for nutrient management planning strategies in the United States. Sharpley, A. N., Weld, J. L., Beegle, D. B., Kleinman, P. J. A., Gburek, W. J., Moore, P. A., Jr., and Mullins, G. Journal of Soil and Water Conservation 58(3):137-152. 2003.

50. © Effects of different soil treatments on growth and net nitrogen uptake of newly planted *Picea abies* (L.) Karst. seedlings. Nordborg, F., Nilsson, U., and Orlander, G. Forest Ecology and Management 180(1-3):571-582. 2003.

51. © Effects of soil compaction, forest leaf litter and nitrogen fertilizer on two oak species and microbial activity. Jordan, D., Ponder, F., Jr., and Hubbard, V. C. Applied Soil Ecology 23(1):33-41. 2003.

52. Effects of wood, peat and coal ash fertilization on Scots pine foliar nutrient concentrations and growth of afforested former agricultural peat soils. Hytonen, J. Silva Fennica 37(2):219-234. 2003.

53. © Nitrogen uptake and utilization by slow- and fast-growing families of interior spruce under contrasting fertility regimes. Miller, B. D. and Hawkins, B. J. Canadian Journal of Forest Research 33 (6):959-966. 2003.

54. © Nondestructive and rapid estimation of hardwood foliar nitrogen status using the SPAD-502 chlorophyll meter. Chang, S. X. and Robison, D. J. Forest Ecology and Management 181(3):331-338. 2003.

55. Nutrient analysis for the nursery industry. Hall, R. and Raynor, K. International Plant Propagators' Society, Combined Proceedings 2002, 52:126-131. 2003.

56. © Phosphorus availability under continuous point source irrigation. Ben-Gal, A. and Dudley, L. M. Soil Science Society of America Journal 67(5):1449-1456. 2003.

57. The role of micronutrients and how they affect plant growth. Nichols, D. and Ma, Y. International Plant Propagators' Society, Combined Proceedings 2002, 52:116-120. 2003.

SO. Fertigation. Burt, C., O'Connor, K., and Ruehr, T. Irrigation Training and Research Center, California Polytechnic State University. 295 p. 1998. Chapter: Safety; Chemical injectors; Injection techniques for various irrigation methods/ Irrigation principles, leaching, and fertilizer uniformity; Nitrogen transformations and processes; Nitrogen uptake; Other nutrient processes; Solubility and compatibility; Specific fertilizers and agricultural minerals; Plant and soil testing; Drip system maintenance; Infiltration problems; Soil pH modification; Insecticide, fungicide, and herbicide injection (chemigation); Grower drip fertigation experiences; Sample fertigation calculations. Order from: The Irrigation Training & Research Center, California Polytechnic State University, San Luis Obispo, CA 93407. TEL: 805.756.2434. Website: http://www.irtc.org/. Price \$52.75 including shipping and handling.



58. Afforestation as a real option: choosing among options. Thorsen, B. J. and Malchow-Moller, N. IN: Recent accomplishments in applied forest economics research, p. 73-80. Kluwer Academic Publishers. 2003.

59. © Carbon-accounting methods and reforestation incentives. Cacho, O. J., Hean, R. L., and Wise, R. M. Australian Journal of Agricultural and Resource Economics 47(2):153-179. 2003.

60. © The determinants of reforestation in Brazil. Bacha, C. J. C. Applied Economics 35(6):631-639. 2003.

61. The floriculture and nursery crop research initiative: a partnership of government, industry, and universities. Bretting, P. K. International Plant Propagators' Society, Combined Proceedings 2002, 52:501-504. 2003.

62. Installing a practical research project and interpreting research results. Dumroese, R. K. and Wenny, D. L. Tree Planters' Notes 50(1):18-22. 2003.

63. An overview of bio-dynamics. Guthrie, J. and Davaux, D. International Plant Propagators' Society, Combined Proceedings 2002, 52:191-197. 2003.

64. Production and establishment of trees in the Great Plains: a question and answer session. Tinus, R. W. Tree Planters' Notes 50(1):5-8. 2003. Answers questions posed by the South Dakota Association of Conservation Districts.

65. Progress in forest nursery practice. Appleton, E. J. International Plant Propagators' Society, Combined Proceedings 2002, 52:198-200. 2003.

66. Silvicultural practices and costs in coastal British Columbia: a case study. Wang, S., van Kooten, G. C., and Wilson, B. Tree Planters' Notes 50(1):50-57. 2003.



67. © Families of loblolly pine that are the most stable for resistance to fusiform rust are the least predictable. McKeand, S. E., Amerson, H. V., and Mullin, T. J. Canadian Journal of Forest Research 33 (7):1335-1339. 2003.

68. © Genetic diversity impacts of forest fires, forest harvesting, and alternative reforestation practices in black spruce (*Picea mariana*). Rajora, O. P. and Pluhar, S. A. Theoretical and Applied Genetics 106 (7):1203-1212. 2003.

69. Genotype by environment interaction and its implications for genetic improvement of interior spruce in British Columbia. Xie, C.-Y. Canadian Journal of Forest Research 33(9):1635-1643. 2003.

70. © Geographic variation in cold hardiness among eastern white pine (*Pinus strobus* L.) provenances in Ontario. Lu, P., Joyce, D. G., and Sinclair, R. W. Forest Ecology and Management 178(3):329-340. 2003.

71. © **Inbreeding and conservation genetics in whitebark pine.** Krakowski, J., Aitken, S. N., and El-Kassaby, Y. A. Conservation Genetics 4(5):581-593. 2003.

72. © Influence of nursery practices on the genetic structure of beech (*Fagus sylvatica* L.) seedling populations. Konnert, M. and Ruetz, W. Forest Ecology and Management 184(1-3):193-200. 2003.

73. © Quantitative genetic structure of stem form and branching traits in Douglas-fir seedlings and implications for early selection. Vargas-Hernandez, J. J., Adams, W. T., and Joyce, D. G. Silvae Genetica 52 (1):36-44. 2003.



74. Automation in production. Crockett, J. Greenhouse Management and Production 23(11):24-26, 28. 2003. Properly planned, installed and executed environmental control systems can reduce operating costs.

75. Avoid problems with heaters. Bartok, J. W., Jr. Greenhouse Management and Production 23(8):72-73. 2003.

76. Discarded plastics could heat greenhouses.

Garthe, J. W. Greenhouse Management and Production 23(9):51-52, 54-55. 2003. The development of fuel nuggets as a heating source could be a practical solution for dealing with discarded agricultural plastics.

77. Louver and vent basics. Bartok, J. W., Jr. Greenhouse Management and Production 23(9):72-73. 2003.

78. Monitor tree seedling temperature inexpensively with the Thermochron iButton Data logger. Gasvoda, D. S., Tinus, R. W., and Burr, K. E. Tree Planters' Notes 50(1):14-17. 2003.

79. Reduce shading during winter. Bartok, J. W., Jr. Greenhouse Management and Production 23(12):63-64. 2003.

80. Reduce your electric bill. Bartok, J. W., Jr. Greenhouse Management and Production 23(7):96-97. 2003.

81. Replacing a heating system: tips to avoid getting hot under the collar. Traven, L. R. Greenhouse Management and Production 23(8):48-50, 52-54, 56. 2003.

82. Retractable roof research. Vollebregt, R. Greenhouse Grower 21(7):62, 64, 66-68. 2003.

83. Save on fuel costs with an efficient energy blanket. Bartok, J. W., Jr. Greenhouse Management and Production 23(10):62-64. 2003.

84. Simplified scheduling. Peterson, J. Greenhouse Grower 21(12):106-108. 2003. GroTime LLC has taken on the challenge to provide today's growers with a reliable scheduling software service.

85. © A small-plot seeder and fertilizer applicator. Engel, R. E., Fischer, T., Miller, J., and Jackson, G. Agronomy Journal 95(5):1337-1341. 2003.

86. Upgrade your environmental control equipment soon. Bartok, J. W., Jr. Greenhouse Management and Production 23(11):54-55. 2003.



87. © Above-ground biomass predicts growth limitation in amabilis fir and western hemlock seedlings. Mitchell, A. K., Dunsworth, B. G., Bown, T., and Moran, J. A. Forestry Chronicle 79(2):285-290. 2003.

88. © Auger planting of oak seedlings. Heitzman, E. and Grell, A. Northern Journal of Applied Forestry 20 (2):92-93. 2003.

89. Bottomland hardwood afforestation: state of the art. Gardiner, E. S., Russell, D. R., Oliver, M., and Dorris, L. C., Jr. IN: Proceedings of a conference on sustainability of wetlands and water resources: how well can riverine wetlands continue to support society into the 21st century? p. 75-86. USDA Forest Service, Southern Research Station, General Technical Report SRS-50. 2002.

90. © Comparing regeneration techniques for afforesting previously farmed bottomland hardwood sites in the lower Mississippi alluvial valley, USA. Lockhart, B. R., Keeland, B., McCoy, J., and Dean, T. J. Forestry 76(2):169-180. 2003.

91. Conceptualization and optimization of solar still green house for afforestation in deserts. Sinha, S., Kumar, S., Hamano, H., Tahara, K., and Kojima, T. World Resource Review 12(3):509-520. 2003.

92. © An economic and ecological multi-criteria evaluation of reforestation methods to recover burned *Pinus nigra* forests in NE Spain. Espelta, J. M., Retana, J., and Habrouk, A. Forest Ecology and Management 180(1-3):185-198. 2003.

93. Effect of peat-based container media on establishment of Scots pine, Norway spruce, and silver birch seedlings. Heiskanen, J. and Rikala, R. Tree Planters' Notes 50(1):28-33. 2003.

94. © Effects of nutritional status and seedling size on field performance of *Pinus halepensis* planted on former arable land in the Mediterranean basin. Puertolas, J., Gil, L., and Pardos, J. A. Forestry 76 (2):159-168. 2003.

95. © Effects of partial cutting and scarification on planted *Picea abies* at mid-elevation sites in southeast Norway. Granhus, A., Braekke, F. H., Hanssen, K. H., and Haveraaen, O. Scandinavian Journal of Forest Research 18(3):237-246. 2003.

96. © Effects of pre- and post-planting shading on growth of container Norway spruce seedlings. Heiskanen, J. New Forests 27(2):101-114. 2004.

97. © Effects of soil conditions on survival and growth of black willow cuttings. Schaff, S. D., Pezeshki, S. R., and Shields, F. D., Jr. Environmental Management 31(6):748-763. 2003.

98. Interaction of initial seedling diameter, fertilization and weed control on Douglas-fir growth over the first four years after planting. Rose, R. and Ketchum, J. S. Annals of Forest Science 60(1):1-11. 2003.

99.© Post-harvest regeneration of montane *Abies amabilis* **forests in northern Washington, USA.** Elman, E. and Peterson, D. L. Forestry Chronicle 79 (2):268-272. 2003.

100. © Restoration of former agricultural fields in Estonia: comparative growth of planted and naturally regenerated birch. Jogiste, K., Vares, A., and Sendros, M. Forestry 76(2):209-219. 2003.

101. © Restoring conifers by natural regeneration on slopes exposed during highway reconstruction, Glacier National Park, Montana, USA. Shearer, R. C. and Asebrook, J. M. Forestry 76(2):199-207. 2003.

102. © Root egress and field performance of actively growing *Betula pendula* container seedlings. Luoranen, J., Rikala, R., and Smolander, H. Scandinavian Journal of Forest Research 18(2):133-144. 2003.

103. © Soil, hydroperiod and bedding effects on restoring bottomland hardwoods on flood-prone agricultural lands in north Louisiana, USA. Patterson, W. B. and Adams, J. C. Forestry 76(2):181-188. 2003.

104. Survival and growth of selected white spruce container stock types in interior Alaska. Graham, J. S. and Wurtz, T. L. Tree Planters' Notes 50(1):44-49. 2003.



105. Biological plant protection -- mechanisms and systems for nurseries using beneficial Trichoderma fungi. Hunt, J. S. and Gale, D. S. J. International Plant Propagators' Society, Combined Proceedings 2002, 52:217-222. 2003.

106. © The content of phenolic compounds and the activity of key enzymes of their synthesis in Scots pine hypocotyls infected with Fusarium. Shein, I. V., Shibistova, O. B., Zrazhevskaya, G. K., Astrakhantseva, N. G., and Polyakova, G. G. Russian Journal of Plant Physiology 50(4):516-521. 2003.

107. Controlling insect pests with entomopathogenic nematodes. Bedding, R. International Plant Propagators' Society, Combined Proceedings 2002, 52:92-100. 2003.

108. Damping-off. Cram, M. M. Tree Planters' Notes 50 119. Using beneficial nematodes: microscopic worms (1):9-13. 2003.

109. © Effect of organic amendments on soilborne and foliar diseases in field-grown snap bean and cucumber. Stone, A. G., Vallad, G. E., Cooperband, L. R., Rotenberg, D., Darby, H. M., James, R. V., Stevenson, W. R., and Goodman, R. M. Plant Disease 87:1037-1042.2003.

110. Effects of trap color and bait type on collection of Coleoptera in pyramid traps in commercial nurseries. Braman, S. K., Sparks, B. L., Tedders, W. L., Mizell, R. F., and Hudson, W. G. Journal of Entomological Science 38(2):254-261. 2003.

111. © Fusiform rust of southern pines: a major success for forest disease management. Schmidt, R. A. Pathology 93(8):1048-1051. 2003.

112. © Integration of soil solarization with chemical, biological and cultural control for the management of soilborne diseases of vegetables. Stevens, C., Khan, V. A., Rodriguez-Kabana, R., Ploper, L. D., Backman, P. A., Collins, D. J., Brown, J. E., Wilson, M. A., and Igwegbe, E. C. K. Plant and Soil 253(2):493-506. 2003.

113. Plant diagnostics can save money in the propagation nursery. Barrow, R. International Plant Propagators' Society, Combined Proceedings 2002, 52:141-144. 2003.

114. Remember whiteflies? Gilrein, D. Greenhouse Management and Production 23(9):68-71. 2003.

115. © Replacing methyl bromide for soil disinfestation: the Italian experience and implications for other countries. Gullino, M. L., Camponogara, A., Gasparrini, G., Rizzo, V., Clini, C., and Garibaldi, A. Plant Disease 87(9):1012-1021. 2003.

116. © Response of soybean sudden death syndrome to subsoil tillage. Vick, C. M., Chong, S. K., Bond, J. P., and Russin, J. S. Plant Disease 87(6):629-632. 2003.

117. Suitability of southern pines, other selected crops, and nutsedge to a Longidorus sp. associated with stunting of loblolly pine seedlings. Fraedrich, S. W., Cram, M. M., and Handoo, Z. A. Plant Disease 87:1129-1132.2003.

118. Tracking Phytophthora. Hausbeck, M. Greenhouse Management and Production 23(8):68, 70. 2003.

are an alternative to chemical pest control. Rafter, D. OAN Digger 47(12):42, 45-48. 2003.

120. White pine blister rust in North America: past and prognosis. Kinloch, B. B., Jr. Phytopathology 93 (8):1044-1047. 2003.



121. Store pesticides properly. Cloyd, R. Greenhouse Management and Production 23(7):94. 2003.



122. Assessing the hardiness of Aleppo pine, Maritime pine, and Holm oak seedlings by electrolyte leakage and water potential methods. Rovo. A., Fernandez, M., Gil, L., and Pardos, J. A. Tree Planters' Notes 50(1):38-43. 2003.

123. © Critical RGC-expected survival models for predicting survival of planted white fir (*Abies concolor* Lindl.) seedlings. Stone, E. C., Cavallaro, J. I., and Norberg, E. A. New Forests 26(1):65-82. 2003.

124. Daylength, temperature and fertilization effects on desiccation resistance, cold hardiness and root growth potential of *Picea mariana* seedlings. Colombo, S. J., Glerum, C., and Webb, D. P. Annals of Forest Science 60(4):307-317. 2003.

125. © Dry matter production and allocation in *Eucalyptus cloeziana* and *Eucalyptus argophloia* seedlings in response to soil water deficits. Ngugi, M. R., Hunt, M. A., Doley, D., Ryan, P., and Dart, P. New Forests 26(2):187-200. 2003.

126. © Early seedling growth of pine (*Pinus densiflora*) and oaks (*Quercus serrata*, *Q. mongolica*, *Q. variabilis*) in response to light intensity and soil moisture. Beon, M.-S. and Bartsch, N. Plant Ecology 167(1):97-105. 2003.

127. Growth characteristics of root-shoot relations of three birch seedlings raised under different water regimes. Koike, T., Kitao, M., Quoreshi, A. M., and Matsuura, Y. Plant and Soil 255(1):303-310. 2003.

128. © Improving vigour assessment of pine (*Pinus nigra* Arnold) seedlings before their use in reforestation. Chiatante, D., Di Iorio, A., Sarnataro, M., and Scippa, G. S. Plant Biosystems 136(2):209-216. 2002.

129. © Influence of nutrient supply on spring frost hardiness and time of bud break in Norway spruce (*Picea abies* (L.) Karst.) seedlings. Floistad, I. S. and Kohmann, K. New Forests 27(1):1-11. 2004.

130. Lighting up profits: photoperiods and flowering. Runkle, E. and Fisher, P. Greenhouse Grower 21 (13):118-120, 122. 2003.

131. Lighting up profits: photosynthesis Van Iersel, M. Greenhouse Grower 21(2):110-112, 114, 116. 2003.

132. © Low winter soil temperature affects summertime nutrient uptake capacity and growth rate of mountain birch seedlings in the subarctic, Swedish Lapland. Weih, M. and Karlsson, P. S. Arctic, Antarctic, and Alpine Research 34(4):434-349. 2002. **133.** © Predicting the cold hardiness of willow stems using visible and near-infrared spectra and sugar concentrations. Lennartsson, M. and Ogren, E. Trees: Structure and Function 17(5):463-470. 2003.

134. © Response of *Populus tremuloides*, *Populus balsamifera*, *Betula papyrifera* and *Picea glauca* seedlings to low soil temperature and water-logged soil conditions. Landhausser, S. M., Silins, U., Lieffers, V. J., and Liu, W. Scandinavian Journal of Forest Research 18(5):391-400. 2003.



135. Assessment of viability of *Acer caesium* and *Ulmus wallichiana* seeds through the tetrazolium test. Phartyal, S. S., Thapliyal, R. C., Nayal, J. S., and Joshi, G. Seed Science and Technology 31(3):691-700. 2003.

136. © Development of hardwood seed zones for Tennessee using a geographic information system. Post, L. S., Schlarbaum, S. E., van Manen, F., Cecich, R. A., Saxton, A. M., and Schneider, J. F. Southern Journal of Applied Forestry 27(3):172-175. 2003.

137. Does acorn weight influence germination and subsequent seedling growth of central Himalayan oaks? Purohit, V. K., Tamta, S., Nandi, S. K., Rikhari, H. C., and Palni, L. M. S. Journal of Tropical Forest Science 15(3):483-492. 2003.

138. © The effect of moisture content and prechill duration on dormancy breakage of Douglas fir seeds (*Pseudotsuga menziesii* var. *menziesii* [Mirb.] Franco). Gosling, P. G., Samuel, Y., and Peace, A. Seed Science Research 13(3):239-246. 2003.

139. © Effects of desiccation on the physiology and biochemistry of *Quercus alba* acorns. Connor, K. F. and Sowa, S. Tree Physiology 23(16):1147-1152. 2003.

140. Increasing the temperature of the water soak preceding moist-chilling promotes dormancy-termination of seeds of western white pine (*Pinus monticola* Dougl.). Feurtado, J. A., Xia, J.-H., Ma, Y., and Kermode, A. R. Seed Science and Technology 31 (2):275-288. 2003.

141. Maximize perennial germination: how to successfully germinate difficult species. Pyle, A. R. Greenhouse Management and Production 23(12):24-30. 2003.

142. The role of smoke in dormancy release for horticultural plants. Dixon, K. International Plant Propagators' Society, Combined Proceedings 2002, 52:581-585. 2003.

143. Small batch seed propagation of various *Pinus* **species.** Kirk, S. D. and Coggeshall, M. V. International Plant Propagators' Society, Combined Proceedings 2002, 52:402-405. 2003.

144. © Temperature and seed weight affect the germination of peach rootstock seeds and the growth of rootstock seedlings. Malcolm, P. J., Holford, P., McGlasson, W. B., and Newman, S. Scientia Horticulturae 98(3):247-256. 2003.

145. Use of computer imaging to evaluate the initial stages of germination in woody tree seeds. Dutt, M. and Geneve, R. L. International Plant Propagators' Society, Combined Proceedings 2002, 52:484-488. 2003.



146. © Application of composted urban residue enhanced the performance of afforested shrub species in a degraded semiarid land. Caravaca, F., Figueroa, D., Alguacil, M. M., and Roldan, A. Bioresource Technology 90(1):65-70. 2003.

147. Bark versus municipal compost in paper mill waste substrates for container culture. Chong, C. International Plant Propagators' Society, Combined Proceedings 2002, 52:531-534. 2003.

148. Chemical and non-chemical alternatives to methyl bromide fumigation of soil for strawberry production. Lopez-medina, J., Lopez-Aranda, J. M., Medina, J. J., Miranda, L., and Flores, F. Journal of Horticultural Science and Biotechnology 78(5):597-604. 2003.

149. © The effect of soil compaction, profile disturbance and fertilizer application on the growth of eucalypt seedlings in two glasshouse studies. Williamson, J. R. and Neilsen, W. A. Soil and Tillage Research 71(2):95-107. 2003.

150. Mulch a growth control mechanism. Andrews, G. International Plant Propagators' Society, Combined Proceedings 2002, 52:63-71. 2003.

151. The myth of polyacrylamide hydrogels. Chalker-Scott, L. Washington State Nursery and Landscape Association (WSNLA) B&B 53(6):4. 2001. Available at: <u>www.cfr.washington.edu/research.mulch (under Horticultural Myths)</u>.

152. Physical properties of container media. Altland, J. OAN Digger 47(9):48-53. 2003.

153. Regional components could meet your growing media needs. Jacques, D. J., Morgan, N., Thomas, M., Walden, R., and Vetanovetz, R. Greenhouse Management and Production 23(9):28-30, 32-34, 36. 2003.

154. © Sensitivity analysis of predicted change in soil carbon following afforestation. Paul, K. I., Polglase, P. J., and Richards, G. P. Ecological Modeling 164(2-3):137-152. 2003.

155. © **Soil moisture dynamics in a clear-felled area after planting spruce seedlings.** Prax, A. and Palat, M. Ekologia (Bratislava) 21 Suppl:88-97. 2002.

156. A talk on compost. Prosser, D. and Prosser, H. International Plant Propagators' Society, Combined Proceedings 2002, 52:183-185. 2003.

157. Use of waste and compost in propagation: challenges and constraints. Chong, C. International Plant Propagators' Society, Combined Proceedings 2002, 52:410-414. 2003.



158. Effect of foliar spray of growth hormones on seedling growth attributes in *Albizia lebbeck***.** Ilango, K., Vanangamudi, M., and Vanangamudi, K. Journal of Tropical Forest Science 15(1):1-5. 2003. **159.** Effects of nursery beds and different sizes of polythene bags on germination, growth, and development of cacao (*Theobroma cacao* L.) seedlings. Ndubuaku, U. M. Tropical Agriculture (Trinidad) 80(1):54-58. 2003.

160. © Germination of four species of the genus *Mimosa* (Leguminosae) in a semi-arid zone of central Mexico. Orozco-Almanza, M. S., Ponce de Leon-Garcia, L., Grether, R., and Garcia-Moya, E. Journal of Arid Environments 55(1):75-92. 2003.

161. © Growth and quality of *Acacia nilotica* seedlings raised in root trainers with potting media varying in physical and chemical properties in arid zone. Prasad, R., Lohra, R. R., Mertia, R. S., Rathore, S. S., Shukla, U., and Kumar S. Annals of Arid Zone 41 (2):153-160. 2002.

162. © Halophyte and glycophyte salt tolerance at germination and the establishment of halophyte shrubs in saline environments. Malcolm, C. V., Lindley, V. A., O'Leary, J. W., Runciman, H. V., and Barrett-Lennard, E. G. Plant and Soil 253(1):171-185. 2003.

163. Optimisation of inoculation of *Leucaena leucocephala* and *Acacia mangium* with rhizobium under greenhouse conditions. Diouf, D., Forestier, S., Neyra, M., and Lesueur, D. Annals of Forest Science 60 (4):379-384. 2003.

164. © The potential of mini-grafting for large-scale production of *Prosopis alba* clones. Ewens, M. and Felker, P. Journal of Arid Environments 55(2):379-387. 2003.

165. © Preparation for propagation: understanding germination of giwa (*Astrocaryum standleyanum*), wagara (*Sabal mauritiiformis*), and eba (*Socratea exorrhiza*) for future cultivation. Potvin, C., Cansari, R., Hutton, J., Caisamo, I., and Pacheco, B. Biodiversity and Conservation 12(11):2161-2171. 2003.

166. Provenance variation in growth, physiology, anatomical characteristics and foliar nutrient status of teak (*Tectona grandis*) seedlings. Jayasankar, S., Sudhakara, K., and Babu, L. C. Journal of Tropical Forest Science 15(1):37-50. 2003.

167. Refrigerated storage of seeds of *Araucaria angustifolia* (Bert.) O. Kuntze over a period of 24 months. Piriz Carrillo, V., Chaves, A., Fassola, H., and Mugridge, A. Seed Science and Technology 31(2):411-421. 2003.

168. Response of tamarind (*Tamarindus indica*) to presowing seed treatment with growth stimulants. Vanangamudi, K. and Vanangamudi, M. Journal of Tropical Forest Science 15(1):6-11. 2003.

169. Scarification of seeds of *Acacia angustissima* (Mill.) Kuntze and its effect on germination. Rincon-Rosales, R., Culebro-Espinosa, N. R., Gutierrez-Miceli, F. A., and Dendooven, L. Seed Science and Technology 31(2):301-307. 2003.

170. © Seed source (provenance) variation in *Acacia nilotica* (L.) Willd. for salinity tolerance. Bimlendra, K., Toky, O. P., and Babber, S. Annals of Arid Zone 41 (2):161-167. 2002.

171. Studies on enhancing seed germination and seedling vigour in teak (*Tectona grandis*). Manonmani, V. and Vanangamudi, K. Journal of Tropical Forest Science 15(1):51-58. 2003.

172. © Variability in drupe characters and their relationships on seed germination in teak (*Tectona grandis* L.f.) Sivakumar, V., Parthiban, K. T., Singh, B. G., Gnanambal, V. S., Anandalakshmi, R., and Geetha, S. Silvae Genetica 51(5-6):232-237. 2002.

173. © Vegetative propagation of the threatened African wild olive [*Olea europaea* L. subsp. *cuspidata* (Wall. ex DC.) Ciffieri]. Negash, L. New Forests 26 (2):137-146. 2003.



174. Air layering: a rooting alternative. Byrnes, B. International Plant Propagators' Society, Combined Proceedings 2002, 52:450-452. 2003.

175. Comparative studies on the rooting of *Betula* species. Barnes, H. W. International Plant Propagators' Society, Combined Proceedings 2002, 52:543-547. 2003.

176. © A comparison of pre-planting treatments on hardwood cuttings of four hybrid poplar clones. Desrochers, A. and Thomas, B. R. New Forests 26 (1):17-32. 2003.

177. Effect of soil temperature on rooting and early establishment of balsam poplar cuttings. Landhausser, S. M. Tree Planters' Notes 50(1):34-37. 2003.

178. Evaluation of an alternative method of rooting hormone application in cutting propagation. Blythe, E. K., Sibley, J. L., and Tilt, K. M. International Plant Propagators' Society, Combined Proceedings 2002, 52:393-399. 2003.

179. Forcing epicormic sprouts on branch segments of adult hardwoods for softwood cuttings. Van Sambeek, J. W., Preece, J. E., and Coggeshall, M. V. International Plant Propagators' Society, Combined Proceedings 2002, 52:4170-424. 2003.

180. Hardwood cuttings for erosion control. Bir, R. E., Calabria, J., and Conner, J. International Plant Propagators' Society, Combined Proceedings 2002, 52:481-483. 2003.

181. An insight to biochemical basis of root formation on cuttings: a review. Bhattacharya, S. International Plant Propagators' Society, Combined Proceedings 2002, 52:594-598. 2003.

182. Practical workshop: how to make a hormone. Elliot, J. International Plant Propagators' Society, Combined Proceedings 2002, 52:181-182. 2003.

183. Propagation of *Quercus virginiana* **by cuttings.** Reeves, B. International Plant Propagators' Society, Combined Proceedings 2002, 52:448-449. 2003.

184. Propagation protocol for aspen using root cuttings. Luna, T. Native Plants Journal 4(2):129-131. 2003.

185. Propagation protocol for bareroot willows in Ontario using hardwood cuttings. Mathers, T. Native Plants Journal 4(2):132-136. 2003.

186. Propagation protocol for container willows and poplars using mini-cuttings. Dumroese, R. K., Wenny, D. L., and Morrison, S. J. Native Plants Journal 4 (2):137-139. 2003.

SO. Propagating plantation trees from cuttings in containers. Nelson, W. 888 Management Ltd., Christchurch, New Zealand. 18 p. 2003. Contents: Why use cuttings and are clonal methods inherently risky? Why containserise methods? Propagation strategies (Eucalypts, Pines, Acacias); Nursery layout and process flow; Rooting physiology and timing; Nursery procedure after rooting; Hygiene; Record keeping and genetic integrity. ORDER FROM: <u>www.lulu.com/</u>warricknelson. \$14.95.



187. Clean up your act. Calkins, B. Greenhouse Grower 21(8):60, 62. 2003. Proper treatment of closedsystem irrigation water and runoff water is critical for growers using safe practices.

188. Designing the best possible conservation buffers. Durham, S. Agricultural Research 51(12):4-7. 2003.

189. © Efficacy of chlorine on multiple species of *Phytophthora* in recycled nursery irrigation water. Hong, C. X., Richardson, P. A., Kong, P., and Bush, E. A. Plant Disease 87(10):1183-1189. 2003.

190. Evaluating the application uniformity of a sprinkler system for containerized plants. Lane, B. C. International Plant Propagators' Society, Combined Proceedings 2002, 52:616-621. 2003.

191. A grower's solution to nutrient and water management. Overdevest, E. International Plant Propagators' Society, Combined Proceedings 2002, 52:406-409. 2003.

192. Impact of afforestation on the limitation of the spread of the pollutions in ground water and in soils. Szajdak, L., Zyczynska-Baloniak, I., and Jaskulska, R. Polish Journal of Environmental Studies 12(4):453-459. 2003.

193. Irrigation practices can substantially reduce runoff. Newman, J. Greenhouse Management and Production 23(10):58-60. 2003.

194. Optimising moisture retention in growing media. Reynolds, R. B. International Plant Propagators' Society, Combined Proceedings 2002, 52:121-125. 2003.

195. © Runoff responses to afforestation in a watershed of the Loess Plateau, China. Huang, M., Zhang, L., and Gallichand, J. Hydrological Processes 17 (13):2599-2609. 2003.

196. Using reclaimed water in production of containerized nursery stock. White, M. G. International Plant Propagators' Society, Combined Proceedings 2002, 52:643. 2003.

197. Water quality. Mathers, H. American Nurseryman 198(5):32-34, 36-37. 2003. Managing what's in your irrigation water should not only improve your plants' appearance, it should enhance their health.



198. Be aware of herbicide residues in field soils. Altland, J. OAN Digger 47(11):48-49, 51-53. 2003.

199. Controlling stubborn weeds. Altland, J. OAN Digger (Farwest Edition) 47(8):51-55. 2003.

200. © Effect of air temperature, rain and drought on 211. Spray volume, formulation, ammonium sulfate, hot water weed control. Hansson, D. and Mattsson, J. E. Weed Research 43(4):245-251. 2003.

201. Herbicide efficacy using a wet-blade application system. Henson, S. E., Skroch, W. A., Burton, J. D., and Worsham, A. D. Weed Technology 17(2):320-324. 2003. Development of a fluid application system from mower cutting blades.

202. [©] The impact of timing and duration of grass control on growth of a young Eucalyptus globulus Labill. plantation. Adams, P. R., Beadle, C. L., Mendham, N. J., and Smethurst, P. J. New Forests 26 (2):147-165. 2003.

203. Light, temperature, seed burial, and mulch effects on mulberry weed (Fatoua villosa) seed germination. Penny, G. M. and Neal, J. C. Weed Technology 17(2):213-218. 2003.

204. Nonchemical weed control in nursery containers. Chong, C. and Purvis, P. International Plant Propagators' Society, Combined Proceedings 2002, 52:528-531.2003.

205. Pests in pots. Altland, J. American Nurseryman 197(11):18-20, 22. 2003. Sanitation and herbicide management are essential for improving weed control in containers.

206. © Ponderosa pine and lodgepole pine growth response to one-time application of herbicide during seedling establishment in western Montana. Keyser, C. E. and Milner, K. S. Western Journal of Applied Forestry 18(3):149-154. 2003.

207. Post-emergent herbicides: the basics. Altland, J. OAN Digger 47(7):44-49. 2003.

208. Relating simazine performance to irrigation management. DaSilva, a., Garretson, C., Troiano, J., Ritenour, G., and Krauter, C. Weed Technology 17 (2):330-337,2003.

209. Selecting pre-emergence herbicides. Altland, J. American Nurseryman 198(4):26-28, 30, 32. 2003.

210. Selective exposure of yellow (*Cyperus esculentus*) and purple nutsedge (Cyperus rotundus) to postemergence treatments of CGA-362622, imazaguin, and MSMA. McElroy, J. S., Yelverton, F. H., Troxler, S. C., and Wilcut, J. W. Weed Technology 17(3):554-559. 2003.

and nozzle effects on glyphosate efficacy. Ramsdale, B. K., Messersmith, C. G., and Nalewaja, J. D. Weed Technology 17(3):589-598. 2003.

212. Surfactants affect herbicides on kochia (Kochia scoparia) and Russian thistle (Salsola iberica). Harbour, J. D., Messersmith, C. G., and Ramsdale, B. K. Weed Science 51(3):430-434. 2003.

213. Top 10 reasons your herbicide failed. Altland, J. OAN Digger 47(5):44-48. 2003.

Literature Order Form Winter 2004

Please fill out a separate order form for each person ordering literature. Write in the number or letter of the articles in which you are interested in the spaces at the bottom of this page. Note that we will only provide free copies of the first 25! For items that require a copyright fee, you will receive the title page with abstract and ordering instructions if you want the entire article. Fax or mail this form to:

Forest Nursery Notes J.H. Stone Nursery 2606 Old Stage Rd. Central Point, OR 97502 TEL: 541.858.6166 FAX: 541.858.6110 E-mail: rewatson@fs.fed.us

Name:	Position:	
Department:	Nursery/Company:	
Mailing address:		
Street Address:		
City:	State/Province:	
Country:	Zip/Postal Code:	
Telephone:	FAX:	
E-mail:	Website:	

In order to keep costs reasonable we will provide free copies of the first 25 articles. Fill in the number or letter each article from the New Nursery Literature section in the following spaces:

_ _

_ _

_ __

- -

_ _

_ __

_ _

- -

_ _

_ _

_ _

_ __

_ _

Nursery Directory Form

=

The Reforestation, Nurseries, and Genetic Resources (RNGR) Home Page (http://www.rngr.net) contains a state-by-state directory of forest and conservation nurseries. There is also a list of nurseries that specialize in native plants in the Native Plant Network section. Use the following form to add your nursery to the directory, or update your listing. Note that we can list your E-mail and WWW home page address so that customers can contact you directly. Send this form back with your literature order form or fax it to: 541.858.6110.

Example:

Utah	dated: December, 1999			
Nursery Name & Address	Ownership Type	Stock Type	Current Season Seedling Distribution	Potential Seedling Distribution
WWW:http://www.nr.state. /slf/lonepeak/home.htm Lone Peak Conservation Ce	Bareroot	400,000	800,000	
271 West Bitterbrush Lane Draper, UT 87020-9599 TEL: 801.571.0900 FAX: 801.571.0468 E-mail: nrslf.szeidler@state	Container	200,000	210,000	

Your Nursery:

Your State		Updated:		
Nursery Name & Address	Ownership Type	Stock Type	Current Season Seedling Distribution	Potential Seedling Distribution



Native Plants Journal : Technical Information for Growers and Users of Native Plants

The Native Plants Journal (NPJ) is a cooperative effort of the USDA Forest Service and the University of Idaho, with assistance from the USDA Agricultural Research Service and the Natural Resource Conservation Service. Our goal is to provide technical, yet practical, information on the growing and use of native plants for restoration, conservation, reforestation, landscaping, and roadsides. Two full-color issues, each containing about a dozen articles, will be published yearly. The first issue of NPJ was released in January, 2000.

Submit a paper to NPJ: We need contributions from scientists, academics, field personnel, nursery managers, and others concerning all aspects of growing and using native plants.

Papers are published in two categories: refereed or general technical. Please contact the editor-in-chief if you have an idea for a paper or just want more information:

Kas Dumroese USDA Forest Service 1221 S. Main Street Moscow, ID 83843 USA TEL: 208.883.2324 FAX: 208.885.2318

E-MAIL: kdumroese@fs.fed.us

Please subscribe: We hope to be able to make NPJ self sufficient from subscriptions and advertising fees, but need your help. The annual subscription is \$30 for individuals and \$60 for libraries. Online subscriptions will be possible soon but for the present, send payment to:

Native Plants Journal University of Idaho Press PO Box 444416 Moscow, Idaho 83844-4416 Toll-free: 800.885.9059 FAX: 208.885-3301

RNGR Contacts Contact Information for Reforestation, Nurseries, and Genetic Resources (RNGR) Team

Technology Transfer Services	Region of Responsibility	Who To Contact
Technical Assistance about Forest and Conservation Nurseries	Western US	To Be Determined
National Nursery Specialist Forest Nursery Notes Container Tree Nursery Manual Proceedings of Nursery Meetings Native Plants Journal	US and International	Kas Dumroese USDA Forest Service 1221 S. Main Street Moscow, ID 83843 TEL: 208.883.2324 FAX: 208.885.2318 E-Mail: kdumroese@fs.fed.us
Technical Assistance about Tree Improvement and Genetic Resources Technical Assistance about Forest and Conservation Nurseries	Southeastern US	George Hernandez USDA Forest Service Cooperative Forestry 1720 Peachtree Road NW, Suite 811N Atlanta, GA 30367 TEL: 404.347.3554 FAX: 404.347.2776 E-Mail: ghernandez@fs.fed.us
Technical Assistance about Forest and Conservation Nurseries	Northeastern US	Ron Overton Regeneration Specialist USDA Forest Service, S&PF Burdue University
Technical Assistance about Tree Improvement and Genetic Resources Editor - Tree Planters' Notes	US and International	1159 Forestry Building West Lafayette, IN 47907-1159 TEL: 765.496.6417 FAX: 765.496.2422 E-Mail: roverton@fs.fed.us
Technical Assistance about Tree and Shrub Seed	US and International	Bob Karrfalt Purdue University 1159 Forestry Building West Lafayette, IN 47907-1159 TEL: 765.494.3607 FAX: 765.496.2422 E-Mail: rkarrfalt@fs.fed.us

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE FOREST SERVICE COOPERATIVE FORESTRY P.O. BOX 3623 PORTLAND, OR 97208-3623

OFFICIAL BUSINESS PENALTY FOR PRIVATE USE TO AVOID PAYMENT OF POSTAGE \$300

PRSRT STD US POSTAGE PAID PORTLAND OR PERMIT NO. G-40